



GC-MS Analysis and Antimicrobial Assessment of *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels Seed Ethanol Extract

S. Mabel Parimala^{a*} and A. Antilin Salomi^a

^a Department of Zoology, Vector Biology and Pharmacology Research Centre, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai, Tamil Nadu 627002, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author SMP designed the work and critically revised the manuscript. Author AAS performed the experiment and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i56B33953

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79210>

Original Research Article

Received 07 October 2021
Accepted 14 December 2021
Published 14 December 2021

ABSTRACT

People use plants to treat infections, and this has led to search of antimicrobials from medicinal plants. In this work, we evaluated the ethanol extract of *Syzygium cumini* seeds for their antibacterial and antifungal activities. Extraction was performed by maceration method using ethanol. The antimicrobial efficacy of the extract was assessed by agar well diffusion method against ten bacterial species, *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans*, and five fungal species, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Candida albicans* and *Mucor sp.* Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of the extract were determined by resazurin microtiter plate assay. Phytochemicals in the extract was identified by gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) information. In agar well diffusion method, Gram-negative bacteria such as *P. aeruginosa* and *S. marcescens*, Gram-positive bacteria such as *B. subtilis* and *E. faecalis* and fungi *A. fumigatus* were more susceptible showing larger zones of inhibition. In resazurin method, low MICs were recorded for bacteria, *B. cereus* (<7.8 µg) and *P. aeruginosa* (15.6 µg) and fungi, *A. fumigatus* (31.2 µg). Fifteen compounds were identified by GC-MS profiling of the extract. The antimicrobial activity of the extract can be rightly related to the secondary metabolites in the ethanol extract of *Syzygium cumini* seeds.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mabel_parimala@yahoo.com;

Keywords: *Syzygium jambolanum*; herbal drugs; phytochemicals; antibacterial; minimum inhibitory concentration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Microbial infections cause millions of deaths annually worldwide. Every year globally, almost 700,000 lives are lost while presently 50,000 children die every year in India alone, from antibiotic-resistant infections, according to a release from Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics & Policy [1,2]. Two million deaths per annum are expected to occur in India by the year 2050 [3]. According to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019 report nearly 3 million antibiotic-resistant infections occur in U.S. every year where recording around 35,000 death [4]. The greatest challenges and concern is the appearance of multi-drug resistant strains arising from indiscriminate use of broad-spectrum antibiotics. These synthetic drugs are expensive, ineffective, adulterated and not without severe side effects [5]. According to CDDEP, there is a need to reduce the use of antibiotics and to innovate to find new methods of disease treatment [6]. For this one can resort to search for new and effective antimicrobial drugs of natural origin.

Medicinal plants are used across the globe as remedies for the treatment of various diseases because they synthesize a diverse array of biologically active compounds [7]. Despite many antimicrobial studies, the assessment of antimicrobial efficacy in medicinal plants still continue [8,9,10,11] to discover better novel drugs. In the present work, we have tested the antimicrobial potency of one such medicinal plant, *Syzygium cumini* L., its seeds extracted in ethanol. *S. cumini* (synonym: *Syzygium jambolanum*) is a medicinal plant of the Myrtaceae family which is native to the tropics and commonly known as black plum or Indian blackberry. All parts of the plant are traditionally used in alternative medicine for the treatment of a wide variety of ailments, including cough, diabetes, dysentery, inflammation and ringworm. Traditional practitioners in India use the different parts of the plant in the treatment of diabetes, blisters in mouth, cancer, colic, diarrhoea, digestive complaints, dysentery, piles, pimples and stomach-ache. The fruit contains a single large seed, which is sweet, astringent to the bowels and the powder is widely used in India to control diabetes. The seed extract is also used to treat cold, cough, fever, and skin

problems such as rashes and the mouth, throat, intestines and genitourinary tract ulcers. Seeds contain alkaloid, flavonoid and many essential oils [12].

Having such miraculous power to cure many diseases, the current exploratory study was designed to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract and to identify the compounds in it by GC-MS analysis.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Collection

Fruits of *S. cumini* were collected from Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu, India. The plant was authenticated by Prof. P. Jayaraman, Plant Anatomy Research Center, Chennai and a voucher specimen (PARC/2021/4520) was deposited there for future reference. Seeds were removed from the fruit, washed in distilled water, shade-dried for a week, powdered with a laboratory blender and preserved in sealed plastic bags.

2.2 Extraction

Extraction was performed by maceration method in which 50 g of the powdered seeds were weighed in a digital balance and soaked in 200 ml ethanol [13]. The conical flask with the solvent and the powdered seeds was covered with aluminium foil to prevent evaporation. The content was kept in constant shaking on a flask shaker for 72 h at room temperature to ensure thorough extraction. After 3 days, the mixture was filtered in Whatman filter paper No. 1 and concentrated in a hot water bath to obtain crude seed ethanol extract. The extract was stored in air-tight container to avoid contamination and used for further assays.

2.3 Microorganisms

Ten bacterial cultures (*Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella typhi*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus mutans*) and five fungal cultures (*Candida albicans*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor sp.*), all clinical isolates were used in the study. All the

cultures were obtained from Royal Bioresearch Centre, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. Stock cultures were maintained at 4 °C on slant of nutrient agar. Active cultures for experiments were prepared by transferring a loop of cells from the stock cultures to test tubes of nutrient broth that were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The turbidity of the suspension was adjusted to obtain a final concentration to match that of a 0.5 McFarland standard (10^8 CFU/ml).

2.4 Antibacterial Assay

Antibacterial activity was determined by well diffusion method [14] with few modifications. 3.8 g Muller Hinton agar medium was weighed and dissolved in 100 ml distilled water. After sterilization the media was poured into sterile Petri plate. Once the medium had solidified, the agar was inoculated by spreading 1 ml of the bacterial inoculum evenly over the entire agar surface using sterile cotton swabs. Six wells each with a diameter of 6 mm was cut aseptically with a sterile cork borer. 20 µl of the extract dissolved in 1% DMSO at desired concentrations (200 µg, 400 µg, 600 µg and 800 µg) was poured into four wells. In the remaining two wells 20 µl of 1% DMSO and 20 µl of 10 µg streptomycin were loaded as negative and positive controls, respectively. Then, agar plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C. Bacterial growth was determined by measuring the diameter of zone of inhibition in mm.

2.5 Antifungal Assay

Antifungal activity was determined by well diffusion method [15] with minor modifications. 4.4 g Potato Dextrose Agar medium was weighed and dissolved in 100 ml distilled water. After sterilization the media was poured into sterile Petri plate. Once the medium had solidified, the agar plate surface was inoculated by spreading 1 ml of the fungal inoculum over the entire agar surface. Six wells each with a diameter of 6 mm was cut aseptically with a sterile cork borer. 20 µl of the extract dissolved in 1% DMSO at desired concentrations (200 µg, 400 µg, 600 µg and 800 µg) was poured into four wells. In the remaining two wells 20 µl of 1% DMSO and 20 µl of 10 µg ketoconazole were loaded as negative and positive controls, respectively. Then, agar plates were incubated 48 h at 35°C. Fungal growth was determined by measuring the diameter of zone of inhibition in mm.

2.6 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Determination

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined by the modified resazurin method [16] in a 96 well plate under aseptic conditions. 100 µl of extract or control dissolved in 1% DMSO was pipetted into the first row. To all other wells 50 µl of nutrient broth was added and serially diluted to obtain eight concentrations (1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.2, 15.6, 7.8 µg). To each well 10 µl resazurin indicator solution (270 mg dissolved in 40 ml distilled water) was added. 10 µl of bacterial suspension was added to each well to achieve a concentration of 5×10^5 cfu/ml (for bacterial isolates) and/or 10 µl of fungal suspension was added to each well to achieve a concentration of 10^5 spores/ml (for fungal isolates). 30 µl of 3.3X strength iso-sensitised broth was added to each well to ensure that the final volume was single strength of the nutrient broth. Each plate had a set of controls. The plates were prepared in triplicate, and placed in an incubator set at 37°C for 24 h. The colour change was then assessed visually. Any colour change from purple to pink was recorded as positive. The lowest concentration at which colour change occurred was taken as the MIC value.

2.7 Gas chromatograph – Mass Spectrum (GC-MS) Analysis

For gas chromatograph analysis, a Shimadzu GC-2010 Plus gas chromatograph (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was equipped with a straight deactivated 2 mm direct injector liner and a 15 m Alltech EC-5 column (250µ I.D., 0.25 µ film thickness). A split injection was used for sample introduction and the split ratio was set to 10:1. The oven temperature program was programmed to start at 35 °C, held for 2 min, then ramped at 20 °C per min to 450 °C and held for 5 min. The helium carrier gas was set to 2 ml/min flow rate (constant flow mode). For mass spectrum analysis, a direct connection with capillary column metal quadrupole mass filter pre-rod mass spectrometer operating in electron ionization (EI) mode with software GCMS solution ver. 2.6 was used. Low-resolution mass spectra were acquired at a resolving power of 1000 (20% height definition) and scanning from m/z 25 to m/z 1000 at 0.3 sec per scan with a 0.2 sec inter-scan delay. High resolution mass spectra were acquired at a resolving power of 5000 (20% height definition) and scanning the magnet from m/z 65 to m/z 1000 at 1 sec per

scan. Identification of the components of the compound was done by matching their recorded spectra with the data bank mass spectra of NIST library V 11 provided by the instruments software. GC/MS metabolomics database was used for the similarity search with retention index.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Antibacterial Activity

Antibacterial activity of ethanol extract of *S. cumini* seeds has been assessed by measuring the diameters of zones of growth inhibition on ten strains of bacteria and the results are presented as shown in Table 1. Irrespective of being Gram-positive or Gram-negative, all the tested bacteria were susceptible to the ethanol extract of *S. cumini* seed as evidenced by formation of zones of inhibition ranging from 20 mm to 12 mm. With 200 µg of extract, largest zone was formed in *P. aeruginosa* culture (20 mm) and *S. marcescens* (20 mm) both being Gram-negative. Following which moderate zones of inhibition observed in cultures of Gram-positive bacteria like *B. subtilis* (19 mm), *B. cereus* (15 mm), *E. faecalis* (15 mm), *S. aureus* (14 mm) and *S. mutans* (14 mm). Relatively smaller inhibitory zones were formed in cultures of the remaining three Gram-negative strains namely, *K. pneumoniae* (13 mm), *S. typhi* (13 mm) and *E. coli* (12 mm). Among the bacteria used in the study, the smallest zone was observed in *E. coli*. Subsequent higher concentrations of the *S. cumini* seed extract resulted in wider zones of inhibition in all the bacterial cultures. However with 800 µg of the extract, a pattern similar to 200 µg was seen. Larger zones in Gram-negatives, *S. marcescens* (30 mm) and *P. aeruginosa* (26 mm) followed by moderate zones in cultures of both Gram-positives *B. subtilis* (23 mm), *E. faecalis* (22 mm), *B. cereus* (19 mm), *S. mutans* (19 mm) and Gram-negatives like *K. pneumoniae* (20 mm) and *S. typhi* (19 mm). Minimum zone of clearance was recorded in the Gram-negative *E. coli* (17 mm) culture. Control (1% v/v DMSO) did not show any signs of clear zones. But wells with the antibiotic streptomycin as one would expect, formed broader inhibition zones in all the cultures, from the largest being in *S. marcescens* (31 mm) to the smallest in *E. coli* (15 mm).

3.2 Antifungal Activity

Antifungal potential of *S. cumini* seed ethanol extract was also assessed in terms of zone of

inhibition of fungal growth. The results of antifungal activity is presented in Table 2. Among the five fungal species, *A. fumigatus* was more susceptible to the extract in all the four concentrations tested showing increasing zones from 14 mm to 20 mm with increasing concentration. *Mucor sp.* formed relatively moderate zones in three concentrations: 400 µg (13 mm), 600 µg (13 mm) and 800 µg (14 mm). Clear zones were formed around the extract in fungal cultures *A. flavus* at 600 µg (12 mm) and 800 µg (18 mm) concentration. The extract was effective in preventing growth of *C. albicans* only with 800 µg of the extract (12 mm). Surprisingly, *A. niger* did not develop any zones. The standard antifungal drug used as a positive control in the study developed zones the largest being in *A. flavus* (25 mm), followed by *C. albicans* (24 mm), *A. fumigatus* (24 mm), *Mucor sp.* (16 mm) and *A. niger* (14 mm).

3.3 Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations

Significant antimicrobial effect expressed as MIC of *S. cumini* seed ethanol extract against the test microorganism is listed in Table 3. The data revealed variability in the MIC against different bacteria and fungi. In bacterial study, the MIC values ranged from 7.8 to 125 µg. In fungal study, the MIC ranged from 31.2 to 250 µg. The lowest MIC values were exhibited against *B. cereus* (<7.8 µg) and *P. aeruginosa* (15.6 µg). The MIC against *E. faecalis*, *B. subtilis* and *S. marcescens* was 31.2 µg. Relatively high MIC (125) µg was recorded against *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. typhi* and *E. coli*. Usually high MIC values indicate a very limited antibacterial or antifungal efficacy. In fungal study, MIC of the extract was lowest against *A. fumigatus* (31.2 µg), high (125 µg) against three fungal species: *C. albicans*, *A. flavus* and *A. niger* and highest MIC (250 µg) against *Mucor sp.*

3.4 Phytochemicals by GC-MS Analysis

The GC-MS chromatogram of ethanol seed extract of *S. cumini* recorded 15 peaks corresponding to the bioactive molecules that were identified by relating their peak retention time, peak area (%), height (%) and mass spectral fragmentation patterns to that of the known compounds described by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) library. Results revealed 15 compounds as shown in Table 4. The chemical formula, CAS number, molecular weight and the retention index for each compound identified in *S. cumini* seed ethanol extract are summarized in Table 5.

Table 1. Zones of inhibition (mm) of test bacterial strains to *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract

Bacteria	<i>S. cumini</i> seed extract				Streptomycin
	200 µg	400 µg	600 µg	800 µg	10 µg
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	15	18	19	19	23
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	19	22	23	23	20
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	15	18	20	22	22
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	12	14	15	17	15
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	13	16	19	20	24
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	20	24	25	26	25
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	13	14	17	19	18
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	20	26	28	30	31
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	14	15	17	17	17
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i>	14	17	18	19	20

Table 2. Zones of inhibition (mm) of test fungal strains by *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract

Fungi	<i>S. cumini</i> seed extract				Ketoconazole
	200 µg	400 µg	600 µg	800 µg	10 µg
<i>Candida albicans</i>	-	-	-	12	24
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	-	-	12	18	25
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	14	15	17	20	24
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	-	-	-	-	14
<i>Mucor sp.</i>	-	13	13	14	16

Table 3. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) values of *Syzygium cumini* ethanol seed extract for the tested bacteria and fungi

Bacteria	MIC (µg)
<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	< 7.8
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	31.2
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	31.2
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	125
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	125
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	15.6
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	125
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	31.2
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	125
<i>Streptococcus mutans</i>	62.5
Fungi	
<i>Candida albicans</i>	125
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	125
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i>	31.2
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	125
<i>Mucor sp.</i>	250

Table 4. GC-MS chemical analysis of *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract

Peak#	R. Time	Name	Area	Area%	Height	Height%
1	3.191	3-Furaldehyde	33638269	6.38	20704522	17.83
2	4.387	2,4-Dihydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furan-3-one	8758391	1.66	4625418	3.98
3	5.433	1,3,5-Triazine-2,4,6-triamine	26129860	4.96	6572085	5.66
4	6.090	4H-Pyran-4-one, 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-	31822265	6.04	6697607	5.77
5	7.163	5-Hydroxymethylfurfural	238305275	45.23	22657338	19.51
6	10.677	4-Chromanol	5449160	1.03	2316778	2.00
7	12.230	1,3-Cyclohexadiene, 1,3,5,5,6,6-hexamethyl-	3002076	0.57	1667352	1.44
8	12.711	1H-Cycloprop[e]azulen-7-ol	8330762	1.58	3802342	3.27
9	14.056	Tetradecanoic acid	9566946	1.82	4916051	4.23
10	16.426	Isopropyl palmitate	74995414	14.23	17138579	14.76
11	18.761	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-	18634169	3.54	4912346	4.23
12	18.829	Oleic Acid	9299571	1.77	4327870	3.73
13	19.085	L-(+)-Ascorbic acid 2,6-dihexadecanoate	12008047	2.28	3749416	3.23
14	24.758	Hexadecanoic acid	11770662	2.23	2636111	2.27
15	38.751	.gamma.-Sitosterol	35158406	6.67	9390057	8.09
			526869273	100.00	116113872	100.00

Table 5. Chemical formula, CAS number, molecular weight and retention index of compounds in *S. cumini* seed ethanol extract screened with GC-MS

Peak#	Chemical formula of the compounds	CAS number	Molecular weight	Retention index
1	C ₅ H ₄ O ₂	498-60-2	96	831
2	C ₆ H ₈ O ₄	10230-62-3	144	1173
3	C ₃ H ₆ N ₆	108-78-1	126	1597
4	C ₆ H ₈ O ₄	28564-83-2	144	1269
5	C ₆ H ₆ O ₃	67-47-0	126	1163
6	C ₉ H ₁₀ O ₂	1481-93-2	150	1344
7	C ₁₂ H ₂₀	0-00-0	164	1132
8	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O	6750-60-3	220	1536
9	C ₁₄ H ₂₈ O ₂	544-63-8	228	1769
10	C ₁₉ H ₃₈ O ₂	142-91-6	298	2013
11	C ₁₈ H ₃₂ O ₂	60-33-3	280	2183
12	C ₁₈ H ₃₄ O ₂	112-80-1	282	2175
13	C ₃₈ H ₆₈ O ₈	28474-90-0	652	4765
14	C ₁₉ H ₃₈ O ₄	23470-00-0	330	2498
15	C ₂₉ H ₅₀ O	83-47-6	414	2731




Fig. 1. Bacterial and fungal culture plates treated with *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract in various concentrations and standards using agar well diffusion method (1: 200 µg extract, 2: 400 µg extract, 3: 600 µg extract, 4: 800 µg extract, 5: 10 µg standard drug)




Fig. 2. Micro-titre plates showing resazurin method for determining Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract against the tested bacteria (a: *E. coli*, b: *S. aureus*, c: *S. mutans*, d: *S. typhi*, e: *S. marcescens*, f: *E. faecalis*, g: *K. pneumoniae*, h: *P. aeruginosa*, i: *B. subtilis*, j: *B. cereus*, 1– 8: 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.6, 7.8 µg extract, 9: 10 µg standard drug, 10: control DMSO, 11: Culture alone)




Fig. 3. Micro-titre plates showing resazurin method for determining Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract against the tested fungi (a: *A. niger*, b: *A. flavus*, c: *A. fumigatus*, d: *C. albicans*, e: *Mucor sp.*, 1– 8: 1000, 500, 250, 125, 62.5, 31.25, 15.6, 7.8 µg extract, 9: 10 µg standard drug, 10: control DMSO, 11: Culture alone)




Fig. 4. GC-MS chromatogram of compounds identified in *Syzygium cumini* seed ethanol extract

4. DISCUSSION

The use of and search for antimicrobial drugs from medicinal plants have increased significantly in the recent few years. These plants are a repository of phytochemicals in the form of secondary metabolites, such as steroids, phenols, tannins, terpenoids, alkaloids, and flavonoids, which have established antimicrobial properties and could be developed for treatment of infectious diseases [17]. Innumerable studies worldwide have reported the antimicrobial potency of medicinal plants with a handful focussed on expounding the antimicrobial potency of isolated compounds [18,8,19,20,21, 22,23].

This study is an attempt to screen the antibacterial and antifungal property of ethanol extract of *Syzygium cumini* seeds *in vitro* and to analyse the compounds in the extract. Jamun as the plant is called, has been used for the

treatment of diabetes since ancient times in Ayurvedic system of medicine. Additionally, it is reported as an anti-allergic, anti-cancer, anti-diarrhoeal, anti-fertility, anti-hyperlipidemic, anti-hypertensive, anti-inflammatory, anti-lishmanial, anti-nociceptive, anti-oxidant, anti-viral, cardioprotective, diuretic, gastroprotective, hepatoprotective, and radioprotective agent [24]. Because of its numerous medicinal use, the plant was selected for the present study.

We selected five Gram-positive strains and five Gram-negative strains to find if the extract significantly controls the growth of both the bacterial groups. In our results (Table 1) we noticed that the ethanol extract of *S. cumini* seeds sizeably prevented the bacterial growth (Fig. 1) irrespective of the strains used. This is in accordance with a study on the methanol extract of *S. cumini* seeds against *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* showing good antibacterial results [25]. There is also a report

on high antimicrobial property of the ethanolic extracts of leaves and aqueous extracts of seeds for 19 gram positive and gram negative bacterial strains [26]. In another study, purified methanol fraction from ethanol extract of the seed of *S. cumini* L. exhibited significant antibacterial activity against *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis* and *P. aeruginosa* suggesting its broad range of antibacterial activity [27]. Several other studies have been reported likewise with methanol [28,29], petroleum ether [30], aqueous [31] and acetone extracts able to achieve $\geq 95\%$ killing of bacterial biofilm at concentrations ranging from 600-2000 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ [32]. With regard to the antifungal property of the ethanol extract of *S. cumini* seeds, we could trace only moderate activity (Table 2). Though there are evidences demonstrating antifungal activity of *S. cumini* extracts obtained from different parts of the plant against fungi of the genus *Candida* [33], here *Candida* was vulnerable only at 800 μg of the extract. In few other previous reports, methanolic extract of seed acted against *C. albicans* and *A. niger* [34]. *S. cumini* seeds prepared with polymeric nanoparticles have proved its antifungal activity against *C. guilliermondii* and *C. haemulonii* [35]. A similar study with nanoparticles against pathogens of medical and dental interest, have also contributed to bacteriostatic and fungistatic effects, but at significantly lower concentrations than crude plant extracts [36].

The MIC was determined by resazurin method, a rapid and economical method in the determination of drug resistance and minimal inhibitory concentration of antimicrobial agents, tested successfully against a wide range of pathogens [37]. Resazurin is pink with live cells and turns blue with dead cells. MIC in our study (Table 3) was in the range of 7.8 to 125 μg for bacteria (Fig. 2) and 31.2 to 250 μg for fungal species (Fig. 3). An earlier investigation has reported the ethanol extract of leaves with MIC of 1.1 mg/ml against *S. pyogenes* and 2.1 mg/ml against *E. coli*. Excellent results were also reported against *Aspergillus flavus* (MIC 0.083 mg/ml) and *Rhizopus solani* (MIC 0.127 mg/ml) [38]. In another study, extracts of *S. cumini* with other Myrtaceae plants has displayed excellent synergism against the bacteria *A. baumannii* by decreasing the MIC value of an antibiotic, meropenem [39].

The antimicrobial activity can be rightly attributed to the phytoconstituents in the extract that act synergistically to curb the growth of microbes.

Hence analysing the extract for the constituents becomes mandate. In recent years, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) has commonly been employed for detection of functional groups and identification of various bioactive therapeutic compounds that are present in medicinal plants. It is by far the best, fast and accurate technique to detect various compounds, including alcohols, alkaloids, nitro compounds, long chain hydrocarbons, organic acids, steroids, esters and amino and requires a small volume of plant extracts [40]. Few compounds detected in the ethanol extract of *S. cumini* seeds among the fifteen (Fig. 4) were 3-furaldehyde, 5-hydroxymethyl furfural, 4-chromanol, tetradecanoic acid, isopropyl palmitate, oleic acid, hexadecanoic acid, ascorbic acid and gamma sitosterol (Table 4 and Table 5). Previous studies have reported many such compounds from seed extract [25], compounds such as alkanes, sesquiterpenes, polyphenols [41], gallic acid and quercetin in the methanolic extract [42], compounds 4-(2-2-dimethyl-6-6-methylenecyclohexyl) butanol, decahydro-8a-ethyl-1,1,4a,6-tetramethylnaphalene, octadecane, 1-chlorooctadecane and tetratetracontane and many more in seed extract [43,44,45,46,47].

Though we have not explored the mechanism by which these metabolites act on the microbes one can be sure that any antimicrobial agent act by either interfering chemically with the synthesis or function of vital components, and/or avoiding the conventional mechanisms of antibacterial resistance that comprise interrupting the bacterial protein biosynthesis or bacterial cell-wall biosynthesis, promoting bacterial cell membrane destruction, mistakes in bacterial DNA replication and repair, or inhibition of a metabolic pathway [48]. One good evidence comes from a recent study of methanolic seed extract of *S. cumini* on *B. subtilis* revealing genomic DNA degradation, cell wall cracking and antimicrobial-induced permeabilization by acting against four enzymes which are crucial for plasma membrane synthesis in *B. subtilis* [49].

5. CONCLUSION

The antimicrobial screening of ethanol extract of *Syzygium cumini* seeds proves it to be a very effective antimicrobial agent as tested against the ten bacteria and five fungal species corresponding to the observed high zones of inhibition and low MIC values. Fifteen compounds identified by GC-MS analysis

suggests that the extract containing these natural phyto-constituents act by synergism contributing to antimicrobial effect. We suggest further investigations with other parts of the plant, other extraction methods, the exact underlying mechanism of action and *in vivo* assays to evaluate antimicrobial activity alongside antiviral, and antiparasitic activity that might exhibit better results.

NOTE

The study highlights the efficacy of "Ayurved" which is an ancient tradition, used in some parts of India. This ancient concept should be carefully evaluated in the light of modern medical science and can be utilized partially if found suitable.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. CDDEP. The State of the World's Antibiotics. In Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics & Policy; 2015. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781316336267.001>
2. Jain L. Neonatal-perinatal infections: Are we losing the battle? Clinics in Perinatology. 2015;42:15–17. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2014.12.002>
3. Subramaniam G, Girish M. Antibiotic Resistance — A Cause for Reemergence of Infections. The Indian Journal of Pediatrics. 2020;87(11):937–944. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12098-019-03180-3>
4. CDC. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019. In Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2019. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/biggest_threats.html
5. Dabur R, Gupta A, Mandal TK, Singh DD, Bajpai V, Gurav AM, Lavekar GS. Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines, 2007;4(3):313–318. DOI: 10.4314/ajtcam.v4i3.31225
6. Sriram A, Kalanxhi E, Kapoor G, Craig J, Balasubramanian R, Brar S, Criscuolo N, Hamilton A, Klein E, Tseng K, Van Boeckel T, Laxminarayan R. The State of the World's Antibiotics in 2021: A global analysis of antimicrobial resistance and its drivers. In The Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics & Policy; 2021. Available: <https://resistancemap.cddep.org/>
7. Egamberdieva D, Wirth S, Behrendt U, Ahmad P, Berg G. Antimicrobial activity of medicinal plants correlates with the proportion of antagonistic endophytes. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2017;8:199. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00199>
8. Chassagne F, Samarakoon T, Porrás G, Lyles JT, Dettweiler M, Marquez L, Salam AM, Shabih S, Farrokhi DR, Quave CL. A Systematic Review of Plants With Antibacterial Activities: A Taxonomic and Phylogenetic Perspective. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2021;11:586548. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.586548>
9. Hlashwayo DF, Barbosa F, Langa S, Sigaúque B, Bila CG. A Systematic Review of *in Vitro* Activity of Medicinal Plants from Sub-Saharan Africa against *Campylobacter* spp. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2020;9485364. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9485364>
10. Nigussie D, Davey G, Legesse BA, Fekadu A, Makonnen E. Antibacterial activity of methanol extracts of the leaves of three medicinal plants against selected bacteria isolated from wounds of

- lymphoedema patients. BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies, 2021;21:2.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-020-03183-0
11. Yan Y, Li X, Zhang C, Lv L, Gao B, Li M. Research progress on antibacterial activities and mechanisms of natural alkaloids: A Review. Antibiotics, 2021;10:318.
Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10030318
 12. Ayyanar M, Subash-Babu P. *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels: A review of its phytochemical constituents and traditional uses. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine. 2012;2(3):240–246.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(12)60050-1
 13. Handa SS. An overview of extraction techniques for medicinal and aromatic plants. In S. Handa, K. Singh, Khanuja, G. Longo, & D. Rakesh (Eds.), Extraction technologies for medicinal and aromatic plants . International Centre for Science and High Technology. 2008; 22–24.
 14. Valgas C, De Souza SM, Smânia EFA, Smânia A. Screening methods to determine antibacterial activity of natural products. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology. 2007;38:369–380.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822007000200034
 15. Magaldi, S., Mata-Essayag, S., Hartung De Capriles, C., Perez, C., Colella, M. T., Olaizola, C., & Ontiveros, Y. (2004). Well diffusion for antifungal susceptibility testing. International Journal of Infectious Diseases, 8, 39–45.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2003.03.002
 16. Sarker SD, Nahar L, Kumarasamy Y. Microtitre plate-based antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application in the in vitro antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. Methods, 2007;42(2007):321–324.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2007.01.006
 17. Cowan MM. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 1999;12(4):564–582.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1590/s0006-87052004000200004
 18. Behiry SI, KOM, Alamri SA, El-Hefny M, Salem MZM, Alaraidh IA, Ali HM, Al-Ghtani SM, Monroy JC, Salem AZM. Antifungal and Antibacterial Activities of Musa.pdf. Processes, 2019;7:215.
Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/pr7040215
 19. Kebede T, Gadisa E, Tufa A. Antimicrobial activities evaluation and phytochemical screening of some selected medicinal plants: A possible alternative in the treatment of multidrug-resistant microbes. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(3):e0249253.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249253
 20. Naeim H, El-Hawiet A, Abdel Rahman RA, Hussein A, El Demellawy MA, Embaby AM. Antibacterial activity of *Centaurea pumilio* L. Root and aerial part extracts against some multidrug resistant bacteria. BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies. 2020;20:79.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-020-2876-y
 21. Nigussie D, Davey G, Tufa TB, Brewster M, Legesse BA, Fekadu A, Makonnen E. Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities of Ethiopian Medicinal Plants: A Systematic Review. Frontiers in Pharmacology. 2021;12:633921.
Available: https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.633921
 22. Okla MK, Alatar AA, Al-Amri SS, Soufan WH, Ahmad A, Abdel-Maksoud MA. Article antibacterial and antifungal activity of the extracts of different parts of *Avicennia marina* (Forssk.) Vierh. Plants. 2021;10:252.
Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020252
 23. Owusu E, Ahorlu MM, Afutu E, Akumwena A, Asare GA. Antimicrobial Activity of Selected Medicinal Plants from a Sub-Saharan African Country against Bacterial Pathogens from Post-Operative Wound Infections. Medical Sciences, 2021;9:23.
Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci9020023
 24. Katiyar D, Singh V, Ali M. Recent advances in pharmacological potential of *Syzygium cumini*: A review. Advances in Applied Science Research. 2016;7(3):1–12.
 25. Amutha K, Aishwarya S. Evaluation of Antibacterial and Antidiabetic Activity and Phytochemical Analysis of *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels. Seed. Research Journal of Pharmacology and Pharmacodynamics. 2010;10(5):348–350.

26. Prabhakaran S, Gothandam KM, Sivashanmugam K. Phytochemical and antimicrobial properties of *Syzygium cumini* an ethanomedicinal plant of Javadhu hills. *Research in Pharmacy*. 2011;1(1), 22–32.
27. Yadav SS, Meshram GA, Shinde D, Patil RC, Manohar SM, Upadhye MV. Antibacterial and Anticancer Activity of Bioactive Fraction of *Syzygium cumini* L. Seeds. *HAYATI Journal of Biosciences*. 2011;18(3):118–122.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.4308/hjb.18.3.118>
28. Anupam K, Anand AM, Raj SN, Hasibur R. Antibacterial, Antioxidant analysis of Phytochemical Extracts derived from seeds of *Syzygium cumini* L. against Pathogenic Bacteria. *Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology*. 2017;10(8):2707–2712.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-360X.2017.00481.4>
29. Devi A, Dahiya VS, Upadhyay SK, Singh R, Sharma I, Kamboj P. Antimicrobial Activity and Phytochemical Constituents in Seed, Leaf and Bark Extract of *Syzygium cumini* (L.). *Plant Archives*. 2020;20(2):7787–7790.
30. Aziz A, Banerjee S. Phytochemical Screening and Antibacterial Activity study of *Syzygium cumini* (Myrtaceae) Seed Extracts. *PharmaTutor*. 2018;6(4):70–73.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.29161/PT.v6.i4.2018.70>
31. Sonali G, Snehal G. Phytochemical and antibacterial properties of ethanol extract of *Syzygium cumini* seed on pathogenic bacteria. *Journal of Plant Disease Sciences*. 2018;13(1):56–61.
32. Patel I, Pate V, Thakkar A, Kothari V. Tamarindus indica (Cesalpiniaceae), and *Syzygium cumini* (Myrtaceae) seed extracts can kill multidrug resistant *Streptococcus mutans* in biofilm. *Journal of Natural Remedies*. 2013;13(2):81–94.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.18311/jnr/2013/101>
33. Júnior ECF, Costa BP, Freire JCP, Melo WO. de S, Ferreira de Araújo CR, Costa EMM. de B, & Pereira JV. Therapeutic applications and antibacterial and antifungal activities of *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels extracts: a systematized literature review focusing on dental applications. *Archives of Health Investigation*, 2021; 10(4):547–553.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.21270/archi.v10i4.4841>
34. Saha RK, Zaman NM, Roy P. Comparative evaluation of the medicinal activities of methanolic extract of seeds, fruit pulps and fresh juice of *Syzygium cumini* in vitro. *Journal of Coastal Life Medicine*, 2013; 1(4):300–308.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.12980/jclm.1.2013c365>
35. Bitencourt PER, Ferreira LM, Cargnelutti LO, Denardi L, Boligon A, Fleck M, Brandão R, Athayde ML, Cruz L, Zanette RA, Alves SH, Moretto MB. A new biodegradable polymeric nanoparticle formulation containing *Syzygium cumini*: Phytochemical profile, antioxidant and antifungal activity and in vivo toxicity. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 2016; 83:400–407.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.01.007>
36. Bernardo WL. de C, Boriollo MFG, Tonon CC, da Silva JJ, Cruz FM, Martins AL, Höfling JF, Spolidorio DMP. Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles and extracts of *Syzygium cumini* flowers and seeds: Periodontal, cariogenic and opportunistic pathogens. *Archives of Oral Biology*. 2021;125:105101.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2021.105101>
37. Khalifa RA, Nasser MS, Gomaa AA, Osman NM, Salem HM. Resazurin Microtiter Assay Plate method for detection of susceptibility of multidrug resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to second-line anti-tuberculous drugs. *Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis*. 2013; 62:241–247.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcdt.2013.05.008>
38. Hanif MU, Hussain AI, Kamal GM, Chatha SAS, Shahida S, Khalid M, Hussain R, Afzal N. Chemical Composition and Bioactivities of Essential Oil from Leaves of *Syzygium cumini* (L.) Skeels Native to Punjab, Pakistan. *Chemistry and Biodiversity*, 2020;17(8):22.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201900733>
39. Saeloh D, Visutthi M, Leeha M, Limsuwan S, Voravuthikunchai SP. Enhanced antibacterial activity of Meropenem against extensively drug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* by Myrtaceae plant extracts.

- Walailak Journal of Science and Technology, 2020;17(11):1168–1176.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.48048/wjst.2020.10714>
40. Konappa, N., Udayashankar, A. C., Krishnamurthy, S., Pradeep, C. K., Chowdappa, S., & Jogaiah S. GC–MS analysis of phytoconstituents from *Amomum nilgircum* and molecular docking interactions of bioactive serverogenin acetate with target proteins. *Scientific Reports*, 2020;10:16438.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-73442-0>
41. Banerjee J, Narendhirakannan RT. Phytochemical analyses, antibacterial, in vitro antioxidant and cytotoxic activities of ethanolic extract of *Syzygium cumini* (L.) seed extract. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*. 2011;2(7):1799–1806.
42. Kothari V, Seshadri S, Mehta P. Fractionation of antibacterial extracts of *Syzygium cumini* (Myrtaceae) seeds. *Research in Biotechnology*. 2011;2(6): 53–63.
Available:www.researchinbiotechnology.com
43. Agarwal P, Gaur PK, Tyagi N, Puri D, Kumar N, Kumar SS. An Overview of Phytochemical, Therapeutic, Pharmacological and Traditional Importance of *Syzygium cumini*. *Asian Journal of Pharmacognosy*. 2019;3(1):5–17.
44. Jagetia GC. Phytochemical Composition and Pleotropic Pharmacological Properties of Jamun, *Syzygium cumini* Skeels. *Journal of Exploratory Research in Pharmacology*. 2017;2: 54–66.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.14218/jerp.2016.00038>
45. Kumar A, Jayachandran T, Aravindhan P, Deecaraman D, Ilavarasan R, Padmanabhan N. Neutral components in the leaves and seeds of *Syzygium cumini*. *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. 2009;3(11): 560–561.
46. Ramya S, Neethirajan K, Jayakumararaj R. Profile of bioactive compounds in *Syzygium cumini* – a review. *Journal of Pharmacy Research*. 2012;5(8):4548–4553.
47. Srivastava S, Chandra D. Pharmacological potentials of *Syzygium cumini*: A review. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2013;93(9): 2084–2093.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6111>
48. Khameneh B, Iranshahy M, Soheili V, Bazzaz BSF. Review on plant antimicrobials: A mechanistic viewpoint. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*. 2019;8:118
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0559-6>
49. Yadav AK, Saraswat S, Sirohi P, Rani M, Srivastava S, Singh MP, Singh NK. Antimicrobial action of methanolic seed extracts of *Syzygium cumini* Linn. on *Bacillus subtilis*. *AMB Express*. 2017;7:196.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-017-0500-4>

© 2021 Parimala and Salomi;; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79210>