



Effect of Foliar Application of GA₃, NAA and Urea on Fruit Physiological Characteristics of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka

**Trivendra Kumar^a, Rakesh Kumar Gautam^a, Vishal Gangwar^{b#},
Deepak Kumar^{c#}, Veersain^{b#}, Navdeep Singh^{d#} and Avdhesh Kumar^{b#}**

^a Department of Fruit Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, U.P., India.

^b Department of Fruit Science, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, U.P., India.

^c Department of Vegetable Science, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut, U.P., India.

^d Department of Vegetable Science, Punjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i1131093

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90293>

Original Research Article

**Received 27 May 2022
Accepted 03 August 2022
Published 05 August 2022**

ABSTRACT

Among subtropical fruits Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* L.) one of the most common fruit crop, grown under neglected soil types. It is a drought hardy and can grow under the most hazardous conditions of soil, water and climate and thus it has rightly been recommended for the arid and desert area of India [1]. It is found growing wild as well as in cultivated forms throughout the warmer regions up to an altitude of 1500 metres above Mean Sea Level. The experiment comprised 13 treatments consisting of foliar spray of GA₃, NAA, Urea and control. The treatments are as follows- T₀ Control (water spray), T₁ (GA₃ @10 ppm), T₂ (GA₃ @20 ppm), T₃ (NAA @20 ppm), T₄ (NAA @40 ppm), T₅ (Urea @1.0%), T₆ (Urea @1.5%), T₇ (GA₃ @10 ppm + NAA @20 ppm + Urea @1.0%), T₈ (GA₃ @20 ppm + NAA @40 ppm + urea @1.5%). Mentioned solutions with different concentration were sprayed by foot sprayer in the morning hours and selected branches were fully drenched. On the

[#] Research Scholar;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: deepakkr094@gmail.com;

basis of sprays of plant growth regulators i.e. GA₃ and NAA and urea as well as their combined treatments influenced different parameters in this research trial. The combined treatments of GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5% maximized initial fruit set, fruit retention, fruit volume, length of fruit, fruit diameter, fruit weight, pulp weight, pulp/stone ratio and minimized the fruit drop, stone weight content. The second effective treatment was GA₃ 10 ppm + NAA 20 ppm + urea 1.0% identified in present investigation.

Keywords: GA₃; NAA; urea; PGR; Ber and growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana*), a member of the Rhamnaceae family of tropical fruit trees, is also known as the Chinese date, Ber, Chinese apple, Jujube, Indian plum, Regipandu, Indian jujube, Dunks (in Barbados), and Masau. The ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.), a significant indigenous fruit of China and India, has long been connected with Indian culture. The Puranas, the Vedas, and other works of literature like the Kautilya Arthashastra, Charak Samitha, and others all make use of Ber. The sage Ved Vyas, author of "Purana" and "Mahabharat," really established his home on one of the main fruits under the Ber tree, which is why he was given the name "Badrayan" (A person living in a forest of Ber tree) [2-4].

It may be found in cultivated and wild forms up to a height of 1500 meters above mean sea level throughout the tropics. Even in the subtropics and tropics' most vulnerable habitats, it may be grown effectively [5].

The Indian jujube is indigenous to Afghanistan, Malaysia, and Queensland, Australia, all of which are located in the southern Chinese province of Yunnan. In India, Ber has been used for about 4,000 years [6,7]. In spite of the fact that it regularly escapes cultivation and turns into a pest, it is planted to some extent across its native habitat, but mostly in India where it is produced commercially [8-10]. The Bahamas, Colombia, Venezuela, Guatemala, Belize, the dry West Indies, and southern Florida are among the places where specimens may be found. The tree has become indigenous in Barbados, Jamaica, and Puerto Rico, where it grows in thickets in uncultivated places. In practically every region of India, it grows under a wild or semi-wild state as well as in cultivation. Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Haryana, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Maharashtra, and Andhra Pradesh are the states that are expanding the fastest. Varanasi, Aligarh, Faizabad, Agra, and Raebareli district are major Ber-growing regions in Uttar

Pradesh. It is one of the most popular and historically significant sub-tropical fruits, coming from India [11-14]. Due to its hardiness and capacity for weight, it is frequently grown in a variety of soil types and weather conditions, including drought. Not many people are aware of the link between nutrition and finances. It has a great deal of promise.

It is an 8–10 m tall, spiky, tiny tree or shrub with stipular spines, a spreading crown, and numerous drooping branches. The trunk is at least 40 cm in diameter. The size and form of the fruit vary. Depending on the type, it can range in shape from oval to ovulation to round and be up to 2.5 cm (1 inch) long. Crispy white meat is present. This fruit has a lovely scent when it is somewhat underripe and is a touch moist. Smooth, shiny, thin, and tight describe the fruit's skin.

Plant growth regulators are important in a wide range of physiological processes. These are employed in vegetative propagation, flowering management, fruit and flower thinning, artificial induction of seedlessness, increased fruit set and size, and pre-harvest fruit drop prevention [15-17]. They serve as a metabolic sink, diverting metabolic energy from one region of the plant to another, particularly toward the development of fruits. PGR pre-harvest sprays are utilized to reduce fruit drop and increase fruit retention rates. Among the numerous plant growth regulators, NAA is a significant auxin group growth regulator that aids in improving fruit set and reducing fruit drop [18].

Gibberellins are primarily utilized for controlling physiological processes and are economically employed to enhance the fruit quality of crops as Ber, Grapes, Citrus, Cherries, and Apple. It has affected the lengthening of rachis cells, thinning of flowers, and expansion of berries in grapes, three physiological processes. Citrus has also taken advantage of the impact of delayed fruit senescence caused by GA₃, and more recent research reveals that GA₃ may stimulate apple

blooming [19,20] found that GA₃ causes fruit set to increase and fruit drop to decrease in Ber.

As mention above the aim of this study to improve the fruiting attributes, physical attributes and the fruit yield of Banarasi Karaka cultivar of Ber.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation entitled "Influence of foliar application of plant growth regulators and urea on fruit drop, fruit retention, growth and quality of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka" was carried out in the Horticulture Garden Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur during October 2018-march 2019.

2.1 Experimental Design and Treatments

Banarasi Karaka Ber cultivar trees that were well-established, healthy, and uniform were chosen. The trees were kept in good condition by following appropriate fertilizer dosages and other horticultural procedures; they were around 47 years old. The entire orchard was kept tidy and uniformly maintained during the study. Nine Ber trees were harvested, and on each tree, three distinct branches were chosen and used as a single unit (for one treatment). As a result, 27 units were chosen on 9 Ber trees, and the experiment was carried out in accordance with the plan. The experiment comprised 9 treatments consisting of foliar spray of GA₃, NAA, Urea and control. The treatments are as follows- T₀ Control (water spray), T₁ (GA₃ @10 ppm), T₂ (GA₃ @20 ppm), T₃ (NAA @20 ppm), T₄ (NAA @40 ppm), T₅ (Urea @1.0%), T₆ (Urea @1.5%), T₇ (GA₃ @10 ppm + NAA @20 ppm + Urea @1.0%), T₈ (GA₃ @20 ppm + NAA @40 ppm + urea @1.5%). Mentioned solutions with different concentration were sprayed by foot sprayer in the morning hours and selected branches were fully drenched. For control there was only water spray is allowed. On November 27, 2018, during the fruit setting stage, plant growth regulators and urea were sprayed over the leaves of each treatment to deliver a homogeneous spray across the whole Ber plant treatment.

2.2 Parameters of Study

2.2.1 Initial fruit set

The initial fruit set per panicle was recorded after spraying, by counting the total number of fruit set

under tagged panicles of each treatment and average number of fruits per panicle were derived.

2.2.2 Fruit drop (%)

The fruit drop per cent was calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{Fruit drop (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Total no. of fruit set} - \text{Total no. of fruit at harvest time})}{\text{Total no. of fruit set}} \times 100$$

2.2.3 Fruit retention (%)

The fruit retention per cent was calculated with following formula:

$$\text{Fruit retention (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits at harvest}}{\text{initial number of fruit set}} \times 100$$

2.2.4 Fruit Volume (cm³)

The volume of fruit was recorded by water displacement method with the help of measuring cylinder and expressed in cm³.

2.2.5 Fruit length (cm)

The length of fruits was measured from stem end to calyx end in centimetres at harvest with the help of Vernier callipers.

2.2.6 Fruit diameter (cm)

The diameter of the fruits was measured from the centre of the fruits in centimetres at harvest with the help of Vernier Calliper

2.2.7 Fruit weight (g)

The weight of ten randomly selected fruit from each treatment per replication was recorded on electric balance and the mean value was expressed in gram.

$$\text{Average fruit weight} = \frac{\text{Total weight of fruits (g)}}{\text{Number of fruits}}$$

2.2.8 Stone weight (g)

To calculate stone weight of fruit, stone was extracted from fruit and weighted by electronic balance in grams.

2.2.9 Pulp weight (g)

To calculate pulp weight of fruit, subtract stone weight and peel weight of fruit from total fruit weight.

2.2.10 Pulp/stone ratio

The weight of the stones and pulp of fruit were taken separately and their weights were expressed in ratio.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On fruit drop, fruit retention, growth, and quality features, the effects of various doses of gibberellic acid, naphthalene acetic acid, and urea were examined both alone and in combination with these growth regulators. Specifically, GA₃ and NAA, two plant growth regulators. The GA₃ concentrations were maintained at 10 and 20 ppm, while NAA similarly had two values, at 20 and 40 ppm. 1.0% and 1.5% of urea are also used as treatments. Growth regulators and urea were used as a therapy combination. Water spray was used to control. In this experiment, there were 9 treatments: T₀ was the control (water spray), T₁ was GA₃ 10 ppm, T₂ was GA₃ 20 ppm, T₃ was NAA 20 ppm, T₄ was NAA 40 ppm, T₅ was urea 1%, T₆ was urea 1.5%, T₇ was GA₃ 10 ppm + NAA 20 ppm + urea 1%, and T₈ was GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5%. As a result, a total of 9 treatments were used in the experiment, evaluated against the control, and three replications in a perfectly randomized design (RBD). The findings demonstrate that all treatments, with the exception of GA₃ 10 ppm (T₁), which showed 157 fruit set, were shown to significantly increase fruit set compared to control (154) in all cases. The treatment T₈ (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + Urea 1.5%), which resulted in a maximum 166 fruit set, was followed by T₇ (GA₃ 10 ppm + NAA 20 ppm + Urea 1.0%), which produced 164 initial fruit set. Maximum fruit drop of 91.93% was evident under the impact of control (T₀), and a minimal fruit set of 85.11% was disclosed during investigation by a combination spray of T₈ (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5 ppm). Treatment T₇ (GA₃ 10 ppm + NAA 20 ppm + urea 1%) was closely behind, showing a fruit decline of 85.29%. Fruit drop rates ranged from 85.11 to 91.93%. Maximum fruit drop was seen under the impact of treatment with T₈ (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + Urea 1.5%), with a 7.42% lower decrease than the control. Similar findings have been reported by Umashankar et al. and Zang and Lei [21] in guava. Under the influence of T₈, the combination of (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5%) exhibited a maximum fruit retention of

14.89%. The control plants had a fruit retention rate of 8.07% throughout the study year, which was notably low. When compared to control, there was an improvement of 84.51% in the range of fruit retention, which was 8.07 to 14.89%. Fruits treated with T₈ (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5%) had maximum values of 4.46 cm, 2.87 cm, and 18.28 g, respectively, for length, diameter, and weight. In this regard, the tiniest fruits measured 3.18 cm in length, 2.12 cm in diameter, and 11.02 g in weight while they were under control (T₀). The fruits ranged in size from 3.18 to 4.46 cm in length, 2.12 to 2.87 cm in diameter, and 11.02 to 18.28 g in weight, respectively. As a result, improvements in length, diameter, and weight were seen to the tune of 40.25%, 35.38%, and 65.88%, respectively. The plants treated with treatment T₇ (GA₃ 10 ppm + NAA 20 ppm + urea 1.0%) showed a considerably maximum (15.47) cm³ fruit volume, followed closely by treatment T₈ (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5%), which recorded a 15.40 cm³ fruit volume. The untreated plants, or control (T₀), reported that the minimum 10.56 cm³ fruit volume was noteworthy when compared to all the other treatments. Fruit volumes ranging from 10.56 to 15.47 cm³ were recorded. Treatment of T₈ (GA₃ @20 ppm+NAA @40 ppm +Urea @1.5%), demonstrated a significant maximum pulp weight of 17.09 g whereas the control showed a minimum pulp weight of 9.51 g during the research. The weight of the pulp ranged from 9.51 to 17.09 g. Regarding, with untreated plants (control), the greatest stone weight of 1.99 g was attained. The investigation's treatment of T₈ (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5%) demonstrated that the worst 0.89 g stone weight was substantial. In comparison to treatment T₈, treatments T₆ and T₇ had non-significant effects on stone weight, exhibiting 0.96 and 0.92 g of weight respectively. As compared to the therapy of T₈ as well as the control, other therapies showed a significant difference. Between 0.89 and 1.19 g were the weight range of the stones. Maximum 19.20 pulp/stone ratio was seen, which was noteworthy under the T₈ treatment (GA₃ 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5%), while a much lower 7.99 pulp/stone ratio was expressed with the untreated plants (control). The range of the pulp/stone ratio was 7.99 to 19.20. Numerous genetic, physiological, nutritional, hormonal, and environmental variables have an impact on the growth of the fruit.

Table 1. Effect of foliar application of GA3, NAA and urea on fruit set, Fruit drop (%), Fruit retention (%), fruit volume (cm³), fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm) and fruit weight (g), stone weight (g), pulp weight (g), pulp/stone ratio

Sr. No.	Treatments	Initial Fruit Set	Fruit drop (%)	Fruit retention (%)	Fruit volume (cm ³)	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit Diameter (cm)	Fruit Weight (g)	Stone Weight (g)	Pulp Weight (g)	Pulp/Stone Ratio
1.	T ₀ Control (water spray)	154	91.93	8.07	10.56	3.18	2.12	11.02	1.19	9.51	7.99
2.	T ₁ GA3 10 ppm	157	88.79	11.21	15.24	4.31	2.64	14.89	1.09	13.49	12.38
3.	T ₂ GA3 20 ppm	160	88.31	11.69	14.13	4.13	2.41	15.21	1.12	13.80	12.32
4.	T ₃ NAA 20 ppm	163	87.88	12.12	14.08	4.24	2.58	14.86	1.04	13.52	13.00
5.	T ₄ NAA 40 ppm	164	87.43	12.57	14.03	4.10	2.38	14.65	1.06	13.27	12.52
6.	T ₅ Urea 1.0%	161	89.86	10.14	13.96	4.03	2.29	13.29	1.01	11.98	11.86
7.	T ₆ Urea 1.5%	162	89.61	10.39	14.01	4.07	2.34	13.41	0.96	12.14	12.64
8.	T ₇ GA3 10 ppm + NAA 20 ppm + Urea 1.0%	164	85.29	14.71	15.47	4.42	2.79	18.08	0.92	16.85	18.31
9.	T ₈ GA3 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + Urea 1.5%	166	85.11	14.89	15.40	4.46	2.87	18.28	0.89	17.09	19.20
SEM (±)		1.9048	1.5395	0.4396	0.5440	0.1693	0.0966	0.4486	0.0516	0.65	0.6147
C.D. at 5%		4.00	3.24	0.92	1.14	0.36	0.20	0.94	0.11	1.37	1.30

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, many parameters were altered by the application of plant growth regulators, specifically GA3, NAA, and urea, as well as by their combination treatments. The combined treatments of GA3 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5% maximized initial fruit set, fruit retention, fruit volume, fruit length, diameter, fruit weight, pulp weight, pulp/stone ratio minimized fruit drop, stone weight, and acidity content. In the current study, GA3 10 ppm + NAA 20 ppm + urea 1% was shown to be the second efficient therapy. The scenario of findings shown that the combination therapy of GA3 20 ppm + NAA 40 ppm + urea 1.5% was discovered to be more successful in the current experiment. Therefore, it is suggested that researchers, orchardists, farmers, and students spray this therapy on Ber trees in order to increase productivity and earn more money.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Pareek OP. The Ber. New Delhi: Indian Council of Agricultural Research; 1983.
- Bankar GS, Prasad RN. Effect of gibberellic acid and NAA on fruit set and quality of fruit in ber cv. Gola. Prog Hortic. 1990;22(1-4):60-2.
- Biggs RH, Leopold AC. The two-phase action of auxin on abscission. Botany. 1958;45(7):547-51.
DOI: 10.1002/j.1537-2197.1958.tb13164.x
- Bonnar J. Plant biochemistry. New York: Academic press; 1950.
- Pareek OP. Ber: international Centre for Underutilized crops, Southampton, U.K. 2001a;248-26.
- Bal JS, Randhawa JS. Effect of NAA on fruit drop and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). Haryana J. of Horticultural Sciences vol. 2007;36:231-2.
- Bhati BS, Yadav PK. Effect of foliar application of urea and NAA on quality of ber cv. Gola. Haryana. J Hortic Sci. 2003;32(1-2):32-3.
- Arora R, Singh S. Effect of growth regulators on quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Umran. Agric Sci Dig. 2014;34(2):102-6.
- Addicott FT, Lynch RS. Physiology of abscission. Annu Rev Plant Physiol. 1955;6(1):211-38.
DOI:10.1146/annurev.pp.06.060155.001235
- Bisen V, Singh RK, Singh PR, Agrawal HP. Effect of growth regulators on fruit drop, size and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). international. J Trop Biodi. 2008; 15(1):84-6.
- Chadha KL, Pareek OP. Advances in horticulture, vol. I. New Delhi: Malhotra Publishing House; 1993.
- Chaurasiya R, Sharma A, Tiwari S, Goyal G, Bhaduria AS, Singh AP, et al. Gangadhar. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. Influence of foliar application of GA3 with and without NAA on fruit drop, growth, yield and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. 2019.;8:45-56.
- Gami JP, Sonkar P, Haldar A, Patidar DK. Effect of pre-harvest spray of ZnSO₄, KNO₃ and NAA on growth, yield and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Seb under Malwa Plateau Conditions. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2019; 8(3):1977-1984.
DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2019.803.235.
- Ghosh SN, Bera B, Kundu A, Roy S. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit retention, yield and physico-chemical characteristics of fruit in ber cv. Banarasi Karaka grown close spacing. Proceedings of the 1st international Jujube symposium. Baoding, China: Agricultural University of Hebei. 2008; 18.
- Kale VS, Kale PB, Adpawar RW. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit yield and quality of ber cv. Umran. Ann. Plant Physiol. 1999;13(1): 69-72.
- Uma, Shankar, Pathak RA, Pathak RK, Ojha CM. Effects of NPK on the yield and fruit quality of guava cv. Sardar. Prog Hortic. 2002;34(1):49-55.
- Van Overbeek J. Auxins. Bot Rev. 1959;25(2):269-350.
DOI: 10.1007/BF02860041.
- Das KK, Yadav PK, Bhunia SR, Singh RS. Effect of plant growth regulators on flowering parameters of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9(3):2684-90.
DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.306

19. Godara NR, Singh R, Ahlawat VP, Dahiya SS. Mineral composition of ber (*Z. mauritiana* Lamk.) leaves as affected by foliar application of growth regulators and nutrients. Haryana. J Hortic Sci. 2001;30(1/2): 10-1.
20. Gill KS, Bal JS. Impact of application of growth regulators on Indian jujube. Acta Hortic. 2013;993(993):119-24. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.993.17
21. Zang XP, Xin TL. The application of fertilizer for guava trees. S China Fruits. 2000;29(6):29-31.

© 2022 Kumar et al; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90293>