

*Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*

*20(3): 1-12, 2019; Article no.JGEESI.48345 ISSN: 2454-7352*

# **Geospatial Analysis of Groundwater Quality in Ludhiana, Punjab (India)**

**Dapinder Deep Singh1\*, Mukta Sharma2 , Sashikanta Sahoo<sup>3</sup> and Siby John4\***

<sup>1</sup> *IK Gujral Punjab Technical University, Jalandhar, India.*<br><sup>2</sup> Penertment of Ceology, Sebool of Built Environment, IKCBTU, Jolandhar, India *Department of Geology, School of Built Environment, IKGPTU, Jalandhar, India. 3 Water Resources & Geoinformatics Division, PRSC, Ludhiana, India. <sup>4</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh, India.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2019/v20i330105 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. Wen-Cheng Liu, Department of Civil and Disaster Prevention Engineering, National United University, Taiwan And Taiwan Typhoon and Flood Research Institute, National United University, Taipei, Taiwan. *Reviewers:* (1) Adelere Ezekiel Adeniran, University of Lgaos, Nigeria. (2) Okoyeh, Elizabeth Ifeyinwa, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48345

> *Received 11 January 2019 Accepted 30 March 2019 Published 09 April 2019*

*Original Research Article*

# **ABSTRACT**

This paper presents a geospatial analysis of the groundwater quality of Ludhiana, Punjab, India. The groundwater samples were collected from 99 locations using grid based sampling procedure and analysed for parameters viz. pH, total dissolved solids (TDS), total hardness (TH), calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>), magnesium (Mg<sup>2+</sup>), sodium (Na<sup>+</sup>), potassium (K<sup>+</sup>), fluoride (F<sup>-</sup>), chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), sulphate  $(\overline{SO}^2_A)$  and bicarbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub>). Sampling was done during both pre-monsoon and postmonsoon periods. Water quality index (WQI) was used to represent the groundwater quality of the study area. The WQI coupled with the spatial maps indicated that merely (1%) of the total study area had good groundwater quality and the rest of the study area fell under poor, very poor and unsuitable for drinking purpose. The geographical information system (GIS) based groundwater quality mapping presented in this paper could be a potential tool for groundwater quality management.

*\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_*

*Keywords: Groundwater quality; water quality index; geospatial techniques; GIS.*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Water is one of the essential resources on earth. Groundwater is a key natural resource for fulfilling the needs of inhabitants. Groundwater is the vertebral segment of India's farming, industrial and drinking water security in rural as well as urban regions. Unfortunately, quality of the Indian groundwater resources is deteriorated because of the release of effluent from pits, releases of residential wastewater in defective channels, improper management of sanitary landfills, over-exploitation for irrigation, urban runoff, intense nitrogenous fertilizers used in agriculture, contaminated industrial sites and industrial discharges [1,2,3]. These types of activities are reported to have impact on groundwater sources and human health [4,5]. A steady and large-scale groundwater depletion in the northern India was reported by Tiwari et al. [6].

In Punjab (India), more than 83% of land is under agriculture where, the entire state is highly reliant on groundwater throughout the year [7]. Groundwater, basically from tube wells and bore wells have been the significant resource for millions of people in Punjab. There are around 1.3 million tubewells (both electric and diesel operated) in Punjab. Deterioration of groundwater quality because of anthropogenic activities is reported expanding at an alarming rate in many parts of Punjab [8]. Also, a recent study indicated that chemicals from anthropogenic wastes influenced the general groundwater quality of Malwa region in Punjab making it inappropriate for human consumption [9]. The concentration of trace metals like Uranium and Arsenic in both shallow and deep aquifers were also reported [10,11]. The nature of groundwater relies on various geological formations present in the region. The geostatistical procedures are found useful for breaking down intrinsic vulnerabilities of groundwater frameworks and can be utilized in groundwater estimation issues, including interpolation and differentiation [12,13,14,15, 16]. Geographical information system (GIS) is proven as a potential tool in managing dynamic systems like the groundwater systems [17,18]. Several studies have demonstrated the use of indexing concepts like water quality index (WQI) coupled with geospatial techniques in analysing the groundwater quality [19,20,21]. The primary objective of this study was to analyse the current groundwater quality of Ludhiana, Punjab (India). It was also attempted to analyse the

spatial variation of groundwater quality in the area.

## **2. METHODOLOGY**

## **2.1 Study Area**

Punjab (India) is spanned by three major rivers; the Ravi, the Beas and the Satluj which are part of Indus river basin. Ludhiana district located in the heart of Punjab is bounded between latitude 30°33' and 31°01' and longitude 75°25' and 76°27'. The Satluj shapes the fringe of the district Ludhiana in the North with Jalandhar and Hoshiarpur areas. The geographical area of the district is around 3767 sq. km. The population of the district according to 2011 census data is approximately 3.5 million with 1.5 million rural and 2.0 million urban [22]. The region experiences south west monsoon from the last week of June to the end of September. This contributes about 78% of the annual rainfall. The remaining 22% of the rainfall is received during non-monsoon period. The subsurface lithological setting of the area comprises sand, silt, clay and kankar in various proportions. The geographical positions of all the sampling locations are shown in Figure 1.

## **2.2 Sample Collection and Analysis**

99 groundwater samples for both pre-monsoon (April-May) and post-monsoon (November-December) periods of 2018 were collected by grid based sampling method with 7 x 7 km grid of the study area. The groundwater samples were collected from tubewells and hand pumps. Prewashed glass bottles were used for sampling and are rinsed with sample water before filling. The water from the sampling well was drained for 5 - 7 minutes before the collection of samples. The samples were stored at a temperature of 4˚C and analysed within seven days of sampling. The physicochemical parameters including pH, total dissolved solids, hardness, calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, sulphate, bicarbonate, chloride, nitrate and fluoride were analysed. The pH and TDS were measured using digital tester HI98129 (Hanna, Romania). Total hardness and chlorides were determined by titration method as described in American Public Health Association [23]. Flame Photometer was used for determining calcium, sodium and potassium as given in APHA [23]. Sulphate, nitrate and fluoride were measured spectrophotometrically as per methodology in APHA [23]. Magnesium is determined with the help of Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS4141 by ECIL) as



**Fig. 1. Study area and sampling locations**

described in APHA [23]. The results of the examination of groundwater quality obtained were compared with the standards of drinking water quality prescribed by the Bureau of Indian Standards [24].

# **2.3 Groundwater Quality Mapping**

For groundwater quality mapping, tubewell locations were marked on the spatial map of the entire study area using ArcGIS version 10.4. After preparing the spatial map, thematic data layers for all the parameters  $pH$ , TDS, TH,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ , Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, F<sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub>, SO<sup>2</sup><sub>4</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub> were generated. For spatial variations of groundwater quality, Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) interpolation technique was utilized in ArcGIS 10.4 environment. IDW works on the assumption that the points near are more similar than those that are more distant or separated. To predict a value for any unmeasured location, IDW uses the measured values surrounding the prediction location. The measured values closest to the prediction location have more influence on the predicted value than those farther away.

# **2.4 Estimation of WQI**

Horton [25] proposed the first water quality Index for assessing the quality of natural water bodies. The WQI method has been widely used by the various researchers, Jasmin and Mallikarjuna [26] analysed the physic-chemical parameters through the development of drinking water quality index (DWQI). WQI is valuable and unique rating to depict the overall water quality status in a single term was assessed by Tyagi et al. [27]. WQI is calculated by weighted arithmetic water quality index method using the following steps.

The WQI was estimated by Rown et al. [28] using the equation (1)

$$
WQI = \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} W_{i}Q_{i}}{\sum_{i}^{n} W_{i}}
$$
 (1)

Where,  $w_i$  = Unit weight of each parameter  $Q_i$  = Quality rating of each parameter n = number of parameters

Quality rating scale  $(Q_i)$  is described as shown in equation (2)

$$
Q_i = 100 * \frac{(V_i - V_o)}{(S_i - V_o)}
$$
 (2)

Where,

 $v_i$  = estimated concentration of i<sup>th</sup> parameter in the analysed water

 $v<sub>o</sub>$  = ideal value of this parameter in pure water  $v_0 = 0$  (except for pH where  $v_0 = 7.0$ )

Unit weight  $(w_i)$  for each parameter was calculated by using equation (3)

$$
w_i = \frac{K}{s_i} \tag{3}
$$

Where,

K = proportionality constant  $=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i}$  $S_i$  = recommended standard value of i<sup>th</sup> parameter

Weightage (Wi ) assigned to each parameter according to its relative significance in water in a scale of 1 - 5 as given in the literature is presented in Table 1.

#### **Table1. Weightage of parameters vis-a-vis standards**



## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The water quality of the study area for various parameters identified is depicted in figures 2 through 13.

Figures 2 (a) and 2 (b) indicate the spatial variation of pH during the pre-and post-monsoon period in the study area. The pH varied from 6.65 to 8.50 and 6.85 to 8.65 during pre-and postmonsoon period, respectively. However, the pH is found to be close to the standards BIS [24].

Figures 3 (a) and 3 (b) show the spatial variation of TDS in the study area. The value of TDS in groundwater varies from 206 to 561 mg/l during pre-monsoon period and 278 to 623 mg/l during post-monsoon period. The spatial variation map shows that 86.8% and 63.6% of the study area are below the BIS [24] acceptable limit (< 500 mg/l) during both periods. 13.1% and 36.3% of the study area during both periods are above the acceptable limit (> 500 mg/l). The exceeding limit of TDS could be because of agricultural, industrial and anthropogenic activities in the study area.

The spatial variation of TH is shown in Figures 4 (a) and 4 (b). TH value ranges from 198 to 326 mg/l and 267 to 352 mg/l during pre-and postmonsoon period, respectively. TH variation shows that 98.9% and 100% of the study area during both periods are above the acceptable limit (> 200 mg/l). The hardness of water may be attributed due to presence of calcium and magnesium.

Figures 5 (a) and 5 (b) illustrate the spatial variation of calcium in the study area. The value of calcium ranges between 20 to 58.6 mg/l and 20.7 to 57.5 mg/l during both period, respectively. All the values are within the acceptable limit according to BIS [24].

Figures 6 (a) and 6 (b) indicate the spatial variation of magnesium. The acceptable limit of magnesium is 30 mg/l and its values ranges between 5.74 to 34.74 mg/l and 10.48 to 36.23 mg/l during pre-and post-monsoon period, respectively. 95.9% and 92.9% of the study area during both periods are within the acceptable limit.

Figures 7 (a) and 7 (b) reveals the spatial variation of sodium. The sodium concentration in the area varied from 31 to 110 mg/l and 40 to 105 mg/l during pre-and post-monsoon period, respectively. The entire of the study area in both periods are within the acceptable limit (< 200 mg/l).

The spatial variation of potassium is shown in Figures 8 (a) and 8 (b). The potassium concentration varied from 3 to 13 mg/l and 5.5 to 12.7 mg/l during pre-and post-monsoon periods, respectively. The higher concentration of potassium in both periods may be due to rain water, use of fertilizers and industrial pollution leaching.



**Figure 2. (a) and 2 (b) Spatial variation of pH**



**Figure 3. (a) and 3 (b) Spatial variation of total dissolved solids** 



**Figure 4. (a) and 4 (b) Spatial variation of total hardness**

Figures 9 (a) and 9 (b) show the spatial variation of fluoride. The fluoride concentration in the entire study area ranges between 0 to 6.5 mg/l and 0 to 7.3 mg/l during pre-and post-monsoon period, respectively. 43.4% and 48.4% of the study area during both periods are above the acceptable limit  $(> 1.0 \text{ mg/l})$ . The concentration of fluoride may be due to geological and surface discharges in the study area.



**Figure 5. (a) and 5 (b) Spatial variation of calcium**



**Figure 6. (a) and 6 (b) Spatial variation of magnesium**



**Figure 7. (a) and 7 (b) Spatial variation of sodium**







**Figure 9. (a) and 9 (b) Spatial variation of fluoride**

The spatial variation of chloride is shown in Figures 10 (a) and 10 (b). It shows that the chloride concentration in the study area is within the acceptable limit (< 250 mg/l) during

both periods of study. Higher concentration of chloride in water plays the significant role in the process of leaching.

Figures 11 (a) and 11 (b) show the spatial variation of nitrate. The concentration of nitrate in groundwater varies from 16.41 to 38.41mg/l and 17.72 to 66.45 mg/l for both periods, respectively. Whole of the study area during premonsoon period and 94.8% study area during post-monsoon period are within the acceptable limit. Only 6.1% of the study area during post-monsoon period is above the acceptable limit (< 45 mg/l). The higher concentration of nitrate at some places may be due to fertilizer impacts.

**Table 2. Rating of water quality index**

		Sr. No. WQI value Rating of water quality
1.	0-25	Excellent water quality
2.	$25 - 50$	Good water quality
3.	50-75	Poor water quality
4.	75-100	Very Poor water quality
5.		Above 100 Unsuitable for drinking purpose
Source: (Brown et al. [29] and Goher et al. [30]		

Figures 12 (a) and 12 (b) show the spatial variation of sulphate. The concentration of sulphate ranges between 17 to 211 mg/l during pre-monsoon period and 20.57 to 190.4 mg/l during post-monsoon period. A marginal increase was depicted during pre-monsoon period, this may be due to industrial waste activities.

Figures 13 (a) and 13 (b) demonstrate the spatial variation of bicarbonate. The concentration of bicarbonate ranges between 84 to 212 mg/l during pre-monsoon period and 66 to 215 mg/l during post-monsoon period. The spatial variation of bicarbonate, for the whole study area is within the acceptable limit (< 500 mg/l) during both periods.

## **3.1 WQI**

The quality of groundwater was assessed through water quality index and was determined by using weighted arithmetic water quality index method as explained in equation (1). The WQI values were then interpolated using Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) method in GIS environment to achieve the WQI maps of the study area. The WQI ranged from 49.90 to 150.13 during pre-monsoon period and 57.46 to 164.04 during post-monsoon period. The categorized WQI values for the entire study area are presented in Table 2. The WQI map of preand post-monsoon period of the study area are shown in Figures 14 (a) and 14 (b).

The spatial variation of water quality indexing for the entire study area shows that there is no excellent water quality during both of the periods. Merely 1% of the study area is under Good water quality during the pre-monsoon period. The WQI map shows that the poor water quality, very poor water quality and unsuitable for drinking was respectively, 58.6%, 35.4% and 5.0% during premonsoon period. However, during post-monsoon period poor water quality, very poor water quality and unsuitable for drinking was respectively, 43.4%, 44.4%, 12.2% of the study area. The change in groundwater quality may be due to normal geological phenomena due to industrial activities, increased population, urbanization, agricultural practices and leaching of wastewater into the aquifer system.





### **Figure 10. (a) and 10 (b) Spatial variation of chlorides**

**Figure 11. (a) and 11 (b) Spatial variation of nitrate**



![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Figure 13. (a) and 13 (b) Spatial variation of bicarbonate**

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

**Figure 14 (a) Spatial variation of WQI (Pre-monsoon)**

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Singh et al.; JGEESI, 20(3): 1-12, 2019; Article no.JGEESI.48345*

**Figure 14. (b) Spatial variation of WQI (Post-monsoon)**

# **4. CONCLUSION**

In Ludhiana (India), groundwater is the major source of water for accomplishing the daily needs and the quality of this source of water has deteriorated by human and industrial activities. The spatial variation of WQI shows that 58.6% and 43.4% of the study area during the pre and post monsoon period, respectively fall under poor water quality and 40.4% and 56.6 % of the study area during the pre and post monsoon periods, respectively fall under the category of not suitable for drinking. Groundwater in the entire study area can be categorized as very hard. The parameters like magnesium, nitrate, total dissolved solids and fluoride exceed the permissible limit as prescribed by the BIS. The study shows the spatial variation in the groundwater quality using geospatial techniques and the maps so developed herein shall facilitate development of proper strategies to control and manage water quality.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors are grateful to Dr. T.S. Sidhu, Director, Shaheed Bhagat Singh State Technical Campus, Ferozepur and Dr. Brijendra Pateriya, Director, Punjab Remote Sensing Centre, Ludhiana for providing support and necessary laboratory facilities for carrying out this research work.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## **REFERNCES**

1. Singh RB. Challenges, monitoring and development of groundwater in north India. Groundwater Updates. Springer, Tokyo; 2000.

DOI: 10.1007/978-4-431-68442-812

- 2. Vijay R, Khobragade P, Mohapatra PK. Assessment of groundwater quality in Puri City, India: An impact of anthropogenic activities. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2011;177(1-4):409- 418.
- 3. Kumar P, Kumar A, Singh CK, Avtar R, Ramanathan AL, Herath S. Hydrogeochemical evolution and appraisal of groundwater quality in Panna District, Central India. Exposure and Health. 2016; 8(1):19-30.
- 4. Bharti PK, Pawan KP, Singh V. Impact of industrial effluents on ground water and soil quality in the vicinity of industrial area of Panipat city. India. J Appl Nat Sci. 2013; 5(1):132-136.
- 5. Bhutiani R, Kulkarani DB, Khanna DR, Gautam A. Water quality, pollution source apportionment and health risk assessment

of heavy metals in groundwater of an industrial area in North India. Exposure and Health. 2016;8(1):3-18.

- 6. Tiwari, Wahar J, Swenson S. Dwindling groundwater resources in northern India, from satellite gravity observations. Geophysics. Res. Lett; 2009. DOI: 10.1029/2009GL03941
- 7. Garduno H, Romani S, Sengupta B, Tuinhof A, Davis R. India groundwater governance case study. Water Papers are published by the Water Unit, Transport, Water and ICT Department; 2011.
- 8. Kaur T, Bhardwaj R, Arora S. Assessment of groundwater quality for drinking and irrigation purposes using hydrochemical studies in Malwa region, southwestern part of Punjab, India. Appl Water Sci; 2016. DOI: 10.1007/s13201-016-0476-2
- 9. Suthar S, Ahada Chetan PS. Assessing groundwater hydrochemistry of Malwa Punjab, India. Arabian Journal of Geoosciences; 2018. DOI: 10.1007/s12517-017-3355-8.
- 10. Hundal HS, Singh K, Singh D. Arsenic content in ground and canal waters of Punjab, North-West India. Environ Monit Assess; 2009.

DOI: 10.1007/s10661-008-0406-3

- 11. Singh CK, Shastri S, Mukherjee S. Integrating multivariate statistical analysis with GIS for geochemical assessment of groundwater quality in Shiwaliks of Punjab, India. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2011;62(7):1387-1405.
- 12. Krishnamurthy, Kumar J, Manivel. An approach to demarcate groundwater potential zones through remote sensing and GIS. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 1996;10(4):1867-1884.
- 13. Saraf AK, Chaudhary PR. Integrated remote sensing and GIS for groundwater exploration and identification of artificial recharges sites. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 1998;19(10):1825-1841.
- 14. Murthy KSR. Groundwater potential in a semi-arid region of Andhra Pradesh. A geographical Information System approach. International journal of Remote Sensing. 2000;21(9):1867-1884.
- 15. Mtetwa S, Kusangaya S, Schutte CF. The application of geographic information system (GIS) in the analysis of nutrient loadings from an agro-rural catchment. Water SA. 2003;29(2):189-193.
- 16. Junge B, Alabi T, Sonder, K, Marcus S, Abaidoo R, Chikoye D, Stahr K. Use of

remote sensing and gis for improved natural resources management: Case study from different agroecological zones of West Africa. International Journal of Remote Sensing; 2010. DOI: 10.1080/01431160903376415

- 17. Chen Y, Takara K, Cluckie Smedt FHD. (Eds.). GIS and remote sensing in hydrology, water resources and environment. IAHS Publication No. 289. Wallingford: IAHS Press; 2004.
- 18. John S, Sharma LN, Bansal R. GIS based modelling of geo- chemical quality of groundwater in Chandigarh. ISPRS Proceeding. 2006;4:3-7.
- 19. Sadat-Noori SM, Ebrahimi K, Liaghat AM. Groundwater quality assessment using the Water Quality Index and GIS in Saveh-Nobaran aquifer, Iran. Environ Earth Sci; 2014.

DOI: 10.1007/s12665-013-2770-8

- 20. Khan R, Jhariya DC. Groundwater quality assessment for drinking purpose in Raipur city, Chhattisgarh using water quality index and geographic information system. Journal Geological Society of India. 2017; 90:69-76.
- 21. Syed TH, Sethy SN, Kumar A. Evaluation of groundwater quality in parts of the Southern Gangetic Plain using water quality indices. Environ Earth Sci; 2017. DOI: 10.1007/s12665-017-6434-y
- 22. Punjab, District hand book, Ludhiana. Census of India. Directorate of Census Operations, Punjab; 2011.
- 23. APHA. Standards methods for the examination of water and waste water. 23<sup>th</sup> Edn. American Public Health Association, Washington D.C; 2017.
- 24. Bureau of Indian Standards. IS: 10500 Indian standard drinking waterspecification. New Delhi: Bureau of Indian Standards; 2012.
- 25. Horton RK. An index number system for rating water quality. J Water Pollut Control Adm. 1965;37(3).
- 26. Jasmin I, Mallikarjuna P. Physicochemical quality evaluation of groundwater and development of drinking water quality index for Araniar River Basin, Tamil Nadu, India. Environ Monit Assess; 2013.

DOI: 10.1007/s10661-013-3425-7

27. Tyagi S, Sharma B, Singh P. Water quality assessment in terms of water quality index. American Journal of Water Resources. 2013;1(3):34-38.

- 28. Rown RM, McCleiland Deiniger, Connor O. Water quality index – crossing the physical barrier, Proceedings in Proceedings in International Conference on water pollution Research Jerusalem. 1972;6:787- 797.
- 29. Brown RM, McClelland NI, Deininger RA, Tozer RG. A water quality index – Do we

dare? Water & Sewage Works. 1970;339- 343.

30. Goher ME, Hassan AM, Abdel-Moniem IA, Fahmy AH, El-Syed SM. Evaluation of surface water quality and heavy metal indices of Ismailia Canal, Nole River, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research. 2014;40:225-233.

 $\_$  , and the set of th *© 2019 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48345*