

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 9, Page 852-861, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.124086 ISSN: 2320-7035

The Impact of Nano-fertilizers on Growth-attributing Characteristics in Transplanted Hybrid Rice (Oryza sativa L.)

Mandeep Kumar ^{a*}, Naushad Khan ^a, M.Z. Siddqui ^a, Sanjeev Kumar ^a, Kaushal Kumar ^b, Anil Kumar ^c, Shravan Kumar Maurya ^a, Durgesh Kumar Maurya ^a and Shikhar Verma ^a

^a Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, (U.P) – 208002, India.

^b Department of Soil Conservation and Water Management, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, (U.P) – 208002, India.
^c Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, (U.P) – 208002, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95035

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124086

> Received: 22/07/2024 Accepted: 24/09/2024 Published: 27/09/2024

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mandeepmaurya9198@gmail.com;

Cite as: Kumar, Mandeep, Naushad Khan, M.Z. Siddqui, Sanjeev Kumar, Kaushal Kumar, Anil Kumar, Shravan Kumar Maurya, Durgesh Kumar Maurya, and Shikhar Verma. 2024. "The Impact of Nano-Fertilizers on Growth-Attributing Characteristics in Transplanted Hybrid Rice (Oryza Sativa L.)". International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 36 (9):852-61. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i95035.

ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2022 and 2023 at Students' Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur- 208002 (U.P.) India. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications. 13 treatments *viz*, T₁- Control, T₂-50 % RDN, T₃-50% RDN+ Nano Urea, T4-75% RDN, T₅-75% RDN + Nano Urea, T₆-100% RDN, T₇-100% RDN + Nano Urea, T₈-50% RDN + Nano Zinc, T₉-50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc, T₁₀-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc, T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc and T₁₃-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc. Higher growth attributing characters such as higher plant height, tillers, dry matter accumulation and leaf area index at different crop stages along with maximum grain yield were recorded with application of 100% RDN + Nano Urea, 100% RDN, 50% RDN + Nano Urea, 75% RDN, 75% RDN + Nano Urea, 100% RDN, 50% RDN + Nano Zinc and 75% RDN + Nano Zinc in transplanted *Kharif* rice.

Keywords: Nano fertilizer; nano urea; nano zinc; growth; transplanted rice and RDN.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is a staple food for more than half of the world's population, primarily in Asia, where it plays a critical role in daily diets. Originating in Southeast Asia, rice cultivation dates back thousands of years and has become an essential component of global food security. Globally, around 165.68 million hectares area is under rice along with 514.57 million tones production and 4.64 MT ha⁻¹ productivity during 2022-23 [1]. In India around 47.83 million hectares area is under rice along with 135.76 million tones production and 4.26 MT ha-1 productivity in 2022-23. It is evident that four states viz., West Bengal, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab and Andhra Pradesh contribute to almost half of the rice production in India. Rice provides 21% of energy and 15% of protein requirements of human population in the world [2]. It is a caloriedense diet with 78% carbohydrate, 6-7% protein in white rice and 7.9% in brown rice, 2-2.5% fat, 0.8% cellulose, and 5-9% ash. In addition to grains, its straw and rice hulls are utilized as insulation, mulch, feed, and packaging materials.

The Nano Biotechnology Research Centre, in collaboration with Indian Farmers Fertilizers Cooperative Limited, produces environmentally friendly liquid formulations of Nano urea and Nano Zinc to prevent the uneven and excessive use of these nutrients. Nano-fertilizers represent a revolutionary advancement in agricultural practices, offering a promising solution for enhancing crop growth and productivity. Studies have shown that nano urea and nano zinc can positively affect soil health by maintaining soil nutrient balance and enhancing microbial activity [3]. In the context of transplanted hybrid rice

(Orvza sativa L.), the application of nanofertilizers has garnered considerable attention due to their potential to improve growthattributing characteristics, such as plant height, tiller number, leaf area index (LAI), and chlorophyll content. These fertilizers, which consist of nanoparticles carrying essential nutrients, exhibit superior efficiency in nutrient delivery compared to conventional fertilizers. Due to their nano-scale size, they enable targeted nutrient release and enhanced absorption by plant roots, leading to optimized growth and resource utilization. The impact of nano-fertilizers on hybrid rice is profound. Improved nutrient influences uptake directly the plant's physiological and morphological traits, resulting in better root and shoot development, increased tiller production, and more robust photosynthetic activity. These improvements contribute not only to higher biomass accumulation but also to enhanced grain vield and guality. Additionally, nano-fertilizers reduce the need for excessive chemical inputs, thus minimizing environmental harm while promoting sustainable agricultural practices. Given the global need to increase rice production to meet growing food demands, the integration of nano-fertilizers into rice cultivation presents a valuable tool for achieving higher efficiency in crop production systems. By enhancing soil aggregation, water-holding capacity, and soil organic carbon levels, nano fertilisers also contribute significantly to soil health [4]. Nutrient absorption is increased when nano fertilisers are enclosed in nanoparticles [5]. The long-term benefits, such as improved soil health and reduced environmental pollution, make nano-fertilizers a key component in future strategies for sustainable rice farming. Application ZnO nanoparticles helped in

enhancing grain yield and improved Zn concentration in rice grain as reported by [6]. Continuous research and field trials are crucial to fully understand and harness their potential in maximizing growth-attributing traits in hybrid rice.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Students' Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur- 208002 (U.P.) during kharif season of 2022 and 2023. Which is situated in the alluvial tract of Indo-Gangatic plain in central part of Uttar Pradesh between 25°26' to 26º58' North latitude,79º31' to 31º34' East longitude and on the altitude of 125.9 meters. The irrigation facilities are adequately available on this farm. The mean weekly maximum and minimum temperature during the crop growth period ranged from 27.00 °C to 43.90°C and 9.90°C to 29.50 °C, during 2022 and 26.42 °C to 42.20°C and 11.40°C to 29.80 °C during 2023, respectively. The crop availed maximum relative humidity 94%, 93% against minimum 42% and 37% during 2022 and 2023, respectively. Total rainfall of 836.20 mm and 747.80 mm was received during crop period 2022 and 2023, respectively. During the crop growing period, the mean weekly highest and lowest total rainfall recorded ranging from 0.0 mm to 159 mm and 0.0 mm to 128 mm and evaporation ranged from 2.60 to 8.80 mm day⁻¹ and 2.01 to 7.09 mm day⁻¹ during 2022 and 2023, respectively. The weekly mean wind velocity during the experimental season fluctuated between 1.60 to 10.20 km h⁻¹ and 1.20 to 9.60 km h⁻¹during 2022 and 2023 respectively. Bright sun shine also recorded in range from 0.90 to 8.90 day hr⁻¹ and from 0.20 to 9.10-day hr⁻¹ during 2022 and 2023 respectively. Meteorological observation recorded during vegetative, reproductive and maturity stages of Rice crop.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Deign with three replications and 13 Nano-Fertilizers *viz.* T₁- Control, T₂-50 % RDN, T₃-50% RDN+ Nano Urea, T4-75% RDN, T₅-75% RDN + Nano Urea, T₆-100% RDN, T₇-100% RDN + Nano Urea, T₈-50% RDN + Nano Zinc, T₉-50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc, T₁₀-75% RDN + Nano Zinc, T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc, T₁₂-100% RDN + Nano Zinc and T₁₃-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc. Recommended dose of fertilizer was 150:60:60; N : P₂O₅ : K₂O, respectively. The Urea, DAP and MOP was used as a source of N, P and K. full P and K were

applied as basal; Urea was applied into equalsplit at basal, active-tillering and panicle initiation stage. 4 ml L⁻¹ of water is the recommended dose of nano nitrogen and 4 ml L⁻¹ of water nano Zinc applied in rice field. Certified seeds of ricevariety "Basanti" (Hybrid Rice) was spread in the rice nursery of 50 m² area. Ploughing was done in nursery area twice with the help of tractor drawn disc harrow. For levelling cultivator and planker were used and raised beds of size of 10 m x 1.25 m were made. For facilitating drainage. 50 cm channel was left between two beds. Then, sprouted seeds were sown by broadcasting on raised bed. The 25-days old seedling was used for transplanting. Hill to hill spacing was maintained at 10 cm and row to row spacing of 20 cm was used. To reduce yellow stem borer damage, Cartap hydrochloride 20 kg ha-1 was administered at 30 DAT and 65 DAT. Plant height was measured with a meter scale from the base of the plant at ground level up to tallest leaf tip/panicle. No. of tillers per m² area was manually calculated from tagged plants at 30, 60, 90 and at harvest time and average values were documented. For recording fresh weight of plant samples were taken at 30, 60, 90 & harvest stage five sample each plot were selected and removed from ground level. Weight of sample from each plot was done on pan balance and figures obtained were divided by five to record fresh weight per plant. These samples were dried in shade for 5-7 days and it was dried in oven (~60±5°C) for 24 hours.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Treatments on Growth Attributing Characters in Hybrid Rice

Plant height (cm): Pooled data showed significant effect in plant height due to application of various nano fertilizers at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest stages (Table 1). Among nano fertilizers combinations, T₁₃-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc at 30 DAT was recorded significantly taller plant height (52.22cm) as compared to T₁- Control, T₂-50 % RDN, T₃-50% RDN+ Nano Urea and T₈-50% RDN + Nano Zinc and minimum plant height (41.95) recorded under control treatment. However, at 60, 90 DAT and at harvest significantly maximum plant height (77.10, 106.14 and 109.45cm, respectively) were observed with T₁₃-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc over T1- Control, T2-50 % RDN, T3-50% RDN+ Nano Urea, T₄-75% RDN, T₆-100% RDN and T₈-50% RDN + Nano Zinc which was found at par with T₅-75% RDN + Nano Urea, T₇-

100% RDN + Nano Urea, T₉-50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc, T₁₀-75% RDN + Nano Zinc, T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc and T₁₂-100% RDN + Nano Zinc. Lowest plant height 61.04, 81.10 and 85.34cm at 60, 90 DAT and at harvest were recorded under control treatment. Increasing plant height in transplanted hybrid rice through nano-fertilizers can be achieved by enhancing nutrient uptake efficiency, particularly nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Nanofertilizers deliver these essential nutrients directly to the plant's root system in a more controlled and precise manner, promoting better root and shoot growth. This targeted nutrient release boosts cell division and elongation, leading to taller, more vigorous plants and improved overall crop performance these improvement also reported by Srivastava et al. [7], Burhan and AL-Hassan [8] and Salama and Badry [9].

Number of tillers (m⁻²): Result showed significant effect in number of tillers due to various nano fertilizers at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest during both years and on pooled basis also (Table 2). Significantly maximum number of tillers (304) at 30 DAT was recorded with T13-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc over T₁-Control, T₂-50 % RDN, T₃-50% RDN+ Nano Urea, T₄-75% RDN, T₆-100% RDN and T₁₀-75% RDN + Nano Zinc and it's found at par with T₅-75% RDN + Nano Urea. T7-100% RDN + Nano Urea, T₈-50% RDN + Nano Zinc, T₉-50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc, T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc and T₁₂-100% RDN + Nano Zinc treatments. However, minimum number of tillers (268) at 30 DAT was recorded under control. Among fertilizers treatments. At 60 DAT and at harvest significantly higher number of tillers 489 and 442, respectively, were recorded with T₁₃-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc treatment which was at par with T₇-100% RDN + Nano Urea, T₉-50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc Zinc. T12-100% RDN + Nano Zinc and the and number of tillers 359 and 307, minimum observed under control plot in respectively, transplanted hybrid rice. While at 90 DAT, T13-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc was produced significantly maximum number of tiller (478) compare other treatments expect T_7 -100% RDN + Nano Urea, and T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc. The lowest number of tillers (340) was recorded with control treatment. It might be due to nano-fertilizers can be effectively used due to their enhanced nutrient delivery capabilities. Nano-nutrients like nano-nitrogen and nano-zinc improve root development and nutrient uptake efficiency, promoting early and vigorous tillering. These fertilizers ensure a controlled and sustained release of nutrients, stimulating growth hormones and improving overall plant vigor, which leads to a higher number of productive tillers. Similar finding also supported by Pal et al. [10], Ravi et al. [11] and Midde et al. [12].

Dry weight (g m⁻²): Among nano fertilizers treatments, T₁₃-100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc was produced significantly maximum dry weight (158.39, 384.40, 705.50 and 820.44 g m⁻² at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest, respectively) followed by T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc, T₇-100% RDN + Nano Urea, T₁₂-100% RDN + Nano Zinc and T9-50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc which was found at par with each others. The lowest dry weight (120.64, 247.72, 507.98 and 539.92 g m⁻² at 30, 60, 90 DAT and at harvest, respectively) were recorded under control treatment in transplanted hybrid rice (Table 3). Increasing dry matter accumulation in transplanted hybrid rice (Oryza sativa L.) can be achieved through the application of nanowhich enhance nutrient uptake fertilizers, efficiency and improve key physiological processes [13]. By delivering nutrients in a controlled and targeted manner, nano-fertilizers boost photosynthetic activity, promote stronger root and shoot development, and optimize biomass production, resulting in greater overall dry matter accumulation; which was earlier reported by Attri et al. [14], Yomso and Menon [15] and Bhavani et al. [16].

Leaf area index: The pooled analysis of Leaf Area across different treatments at 30, 60, and 90 days after transplanting (DAT) reveals variations among significant treatments (Table 4). At 30 DAT, the T1- Control had the lowest LAI (0.88), while T13- 100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc recorded a significantly higher LAI (1.17), at par with T₁₁- 75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc (1.15) and T₇- 100% RDN + Nano Urea (1.13). At 60 DAT, T₁₃- 100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc again demonstrated the highest LAI (4.08), significantly superior to other treatments, though T₁₁- 75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc (3.76), T₇- 100% RDN + Nano Urea (3.69), and T₉₋ 50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc (3.51) were statistically at par. At 90 DAT, T₁₃- 100% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc maintained the highest LAI (2.85), at par with T₁₁-75% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc (2.81), T₇-100% RDN + Nano Urea (2.78), and T₉₋ 50% RDN + Nano Urea + Nano Zinc (2.72), while the

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|--------|
| | 30 DAT | | | 60 DAT | | | 90 DAT | | | At harvest | | |
| | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled |
| T ₁ - Control | 41.42 | 42.48 | 41.95 | 60.00 | 62.08 | 61.04 | 80.22 | 81.98 | 81.10 | 84.62 | 86.07 | 85.34 |
| T₂- 50 % RDN | 45.84 | 46.91 | 46.38 | 66.46 | 68.96 | 67.71 | 87.77 | 91.04 | 89.41 | 92.98 | 96.58 | 94.78 |
| T₃-50 %RDN+Nano Urea | 47.07 | 48.27 | 47.67 | 69.15 | 71.81 | 70.48 | 90.54 | 94.08 | 92.31 | 93.97 | 97.75 | 95.86 |
| T₄- 75% RDN | 47.33 | 48.54 | 47.94 | 69.85 | 72.54 | 71.20 | 98.47 | 102.33 | 100.40 | 100.66 | 104.70 | 102.68 |
| T₅- 75%RDN+Nano Urea | 49.49 | 50.76 | 50.13 | 71.05 | 73.73 | 72.39 | 102.79 | 106.83 | 104.81 | 104.14 | 108.33 | 106.23 |
| T₆- 100% RDN | 48.12 | 49.35 | 48.74 | 70.14 | 72.84 | 71.49 | 99.83 | 103.75 | 101.79 | 102.78 | 106.92 | 104.85 |
| T₇- 100%RDN+Nano Urea | 50.99 | 52.14 | 51.56 | 74.55 | 77.43 | 75.99 | 102.62 | 106.64 | 104.63 | 105.19 | 109.42 | 107.31 |
| T ₅-50%RDN+NanoZinc | 46.76 | 47.96 | 47.36 | 68.35 | 70.89 | 69.62 | 89.71 | 93.06 | 91.39 | 93.83 | 97.46 | 95.65 |
| T ₃-50%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 50.04 | 51.32 | 50.68 | 72.55 | 75.35 | 73.95 | 103.31 | 107.36 | 105.33 | 104.82 | 108.79 | 106.81 |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁₀ -75%RDN+NanoZinc | 49.01 | 50.26 | 49.64 | 71.78 | 74.55 | 73.17 | 101.49 | 105.45 | 103.47 | 103.59 | 107.75 | 105.67 |
| T ₁₁ -75%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 51.40 | 52.35 | 51.88 | 74.53 | 77.31 | 75.92 | 103.63 | 107.57 | 105.60 | 106.43 | 110.71 | 108.57 |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁₂ -100%RDN+NanoZinc | 50.74 | 51.84 | 51.29 | 73.57 | 76.41 | 74.99 | 101.61 | 105.60 | 103.60 | 104.99 | 109.08 | 107.04 |
| T₁₃-100%RDN+NanoUrea | 51.56 | 52.88 | 52.22 | 75.67 | 78.52 | 77.10 | 104.12 | 108.15 | 106.14 | 107.21 | 111.69 | 109.45 |
| +NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SE(d)± | 2.09 | 2.16 | 2.12 | 2.38 | 2.50 | 2.44 | 1.44 | 1.48 | 1.46 | 1.62 | 1.65 | 1.63 |
| C.D at 5% | 4.34 | 4.48 | 4.41 | 4.94 | 5.19 | 5.04 | 2.99 | 3.08 | 3.03 | 3.36 | 3.42 | 3.39 |

Table 1. Effect of treatments on plant height (cm)

| Treatments | Number of tillers (m ⁻²) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|------------|-------|--------|
| | 30 DAT | | | 60 DAT | | | 90 DAT | | | At harvest | | |
| | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled |
| T ₁ - Control | 267 | 270 | 268 | 352 | 366 | 359 | 338 | 342 | 340 | 301 | 313 | 307 |
| T₂- 50 % RDN | 274 | 282 | 278 | 388 | 401 | 395 | 372 | 387 | 380 | 343 | 357 | 350 |
| T₃-50 %RDN+Nano Urea | 280 | 289 | 284 | 434 | 448 | 441 | 415 | 433 | 424 | 384 | 400 | 392 |
| T₄- 75% RDN | 281 | 289 | 285 | 442 | 457 | 450 | 418 | 436 | 427 | 386 | 403 | 395 |
| T₅- 75%RDN+Nano Urea | 285 | 294 | 290 | 453 | 468 | 460 | 433 | 451 | 442 | 414 | 432 | 423 |
| T₆- 100% RDN | 282 | 291 | 286 | 455 | 470 | 463 | 420 | 438 | 429 | 396 | 413 | 404 |
| T ₇ -100%RDN+Nano Urea | 288 | 297 | 292 | 470 | 486 | 478 | 460 | 476 | 468 | 427 | 445 | 436 |
| T ₈ -50%RDN+NanoZinc | 279 | 287 | 283 | 425 | 439 | 432 | 398 | 415 | 406 | 379 | 386 | 382 |
| T ₃-50%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 286 | 295 | 290 | 465 | 480 | 473 | 455 | 473 | 464 | 422 | 440 | 431 |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T₁₀-75%RDN+NanoZinc | 284 | 292 | 288 | 460 | 475 | 468 | 424 | 442 | 433 | 398 | 415 | 406 |
| T ₁₁ -75%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 290 | 299 | 295 | 475 | 491 | 483 | 462 | 482 | 472 | 430 | 448 | 439 |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁₂ -100%RDN+NanoZinc | 287 | 296 | 291 | 468 | 484 | 476 | 457 | 475 | 466 | 424 | 443 | 433 |
| T ₁₃ -100%RDN+NanoUrea | 299 | 308 | 304 | 481 | 497 | 489 | 468 | 488 | 478 | 432 | 451 | 442 |
| +NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SE(d)± | 6.57 | 7.11 | 6.87 | 9.52 | 9.69 | 9.53 | 4.80 | 4.96 | 4.99 | 5.22 | 5.68 | 5.39 |
| C.D at 5% | 13.65 | 14.75 | 14.27 | 19.77 | 20.13 | 19.79 | 9.96 | 10.31 | 10.35 | 10.84 | 11.80 | 11.19 |

Table 2. Effect of treatments on Number of tillers (m⁻²)

| Treatments | | | | | | Dry weig | ht (g m ⁻²) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | | 30 DAT | | | 60 DAT | | | 90 DAT | | | At harves | st |
| | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled |
| T ₁ - Control | 119.34 | 121.94 | 120.64 | 246.30 | 249.14 | 247.72 | 499.81 | 516.15 | 507.98 | 530.99 | 548.86 | 539.92 |
| T₂- 50 % RDN | 126.42 | 129.22 | 127.82 | 262.16 | 268.50 | 265.33 | 530.99 | 543.63 | 537.31 | 574.08 | 601.07 | 587.58 |
| T₃-50 %RDN+Nano Urea | 137.53 | 140.57 | 139.05 | 285.15 | 292.21 | 288.68 | 563.63 | 577.49 | 570.56 | 613.39 | 642.05 | 627.72 |
| T₄- 75% RDN | 141.01 | 144.16 | 142.58 | 299.29 | 306.68 | 302.98 | 587.42 | 602.10 | 594.76 | 642.64 | 672.55 | 657.59 |
| T₅- 75%RDN+Nano Urea | 151.05 | 154.42 | 152.73 | 337.35 | 345.73 | 341.54 | 665.93 | 683.34 | 674.63 | 735.78 | 769.50 | 752.64 |
| T₆- 100% RDN | 144.51 | 147.74 | 146.13 | 315.03 | 322.88 | 318.96 | 621.07 | 636.93 | 629.00 | 683.14 | 714.71 | 698.92 |
| T ₇ -100%RDN+Nano Urea | 155.33 | 158.54 | 156.94 | 370.63 | 379.80 | 375.21 | 682.58 | 706.35 | 694.46 | 794.87 | 808.36 | 801.62 |
| T ₈ -50%RDN+NanoZinc | 134.05 | 136.96 | 135.50 | 273.75 | 280.46 | 277.10 | 546.42 | 559.67 | 553.05 | 593.86 | 621.87 | 607.86 |
| T₀- 50%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 153.37 | 156.79 | 155.08 | 351.88 | 360.62 | 356.25 | 673.85 | 695.65 | 684.75 | 771.64 | 785.27 | 778.45 |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁₀ -75%RDN+NanoZinc | 146.92 | 150.08 | 148.50 | 327.20 | 335.17 | 331.19 | 643.03 | 659.65 | 651.33 | 708.91 | 741.53 | 725.22 |
| T ₁₁ -75%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 156.06 | 159.34 | 157.70 | 375.51 | 384.79 | 380.15 | 687.55 | 712.73 | 700.14 | 804.23 | 817.31 | 810.77 |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁₂ -100%RDN+NanoZinc | 154.58 | 158.03 | 156.30 | 357.49 | 366.37 | 361.93 | 678.16 | 701.67 | 689.91 | 785.47 | 797.07 | 791.27 |
| T ₁₃ -100%RDN+NanoUrea | 156.60 | 160.19 | 158.39 | 379.70 | 389.09 | 384.40 | 693.38 | 717.62 | 705.50 | 813.75 | 827.12 | 820.44 |
| +NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SE(d)± | 2.20 | 2.46 | 2.42 | 10.14 | 10.53 | 10.32 | 11.71 | 12.06 | 11.73 | 23.85 | 24.95 | 24.36 |
| C.D at 5% | 4.57 | 5.11 | 4.76 | 21.06 | 21.87 | 21.43 | 24.31 | 25.04 | 24.35 | 49.51 | 51.80 | 50.57 |

Table 3. Effect of treatments on Dry weight (g m⁻²)

| Treatments | | | | Leaf Are | ea Index | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|-------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--|--|
| | 30 DAT 60 DAT | | | | | | | 90 DAT | | | |
| | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | 2022 | 2023 | Pooled | | |
| T ₁ - Control | 0.870 | 0.890 | 0.88 | 2.333 | 2.650 | 2.50 | 1.607 | 1.643 | 1.62 | | |
| T₂- 50 % RDN | 0.910 | 0.930 | 0.92 | 3.067 | 3.160 | 3.12 | 1.910 | 1.987 | 1.95 | | |
| T₃-50 %RDN+Nano Urea | 0.930 | 0.950 | 0.94 | 3.193 | 3.293 | 3.25 | 2.070 | 2.153 | 2.11 | | |
| T₄- 75% RDN | 0.990 | 1.013 | 1.00 | 3.243 | 3.343 | 3.29 | 2.320 | 2.417 | 2.37 | | |
| T₅-75%RDN+Nano Urea | 1.090 | 1.113 | 1.10 | 3.380 | 3.490 | 3.44 | 2.660 | 2.757 | 2.71 | | |
| T₆- 100% RDN | 1.040 | 1.053 | 1.05 | 3.267 | 3.373 | 3.32 | 2.570 | 2.667 | 2.62 | | |
| T ₇ -100%RDN+Nano Urea | 1.120 | 1.140 | 1.13 | 3.630 | 3.750 | 3.69 | 2.720 | 2.830 | 2.78 | | |
| T ₈ -50%RDN+NanoZinc | 0.960 | 0.940 | 0.95 | 3.160 | 3.230 | 3.20 | 1.950 | 2.030 | 1.99 | | |
| T ₃-50%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 1.100 | 1.123 | 1.11 | 3.397 | 3.617 | 3.51 | 2.670 | 2.780 | 2.72 | | |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T10-75%RDN+NanoZinc | 1.050 | 1.060 | 1.06 | 3.323 | 3.420 | 3.38 | 2.583 | 2.680 | 2.63 | | |
| T ₁₁ -75%RDN+NanoUrea+ | 1.140 | 1.163 | 1.15 | 3.660 | 3.847 | 3.76 | 2.750 | 2.870 | 2.81 | | |
| NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T ₁₂ -100%RDN+NanoZinc | 1.110 | 1.133 | 1.12 | 3.507 | 3.657 | 3.59 | 2.700 | 2.793 | 2.75 | | |
| T ₁₃ -100%RDN+NanoUrea | 1.153 | 1.197 | 1.17 | 3.930 | 4.223 | 4.08 | 2.790 | 2.920 | 2.85 | | |
| +NanoZinc | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SE(d)± | 0.050 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.323 | 0.351 | 0.322 | 0.113 | 0.112 | 0.111 | | |
| C.D at 5% | 0.109 | 0.107 | 0.108 | 0.671 | 0.730 | 0.669 | 0.234 | 0.233 | 0.231 | | |

Table 4. Effect of treatments on Leaf Area Index

control T₁- Control remained significantly lower (1.62). The results highlight that nano-based nutrient treatments, especially those combined with 100% RDN, significantly enhanced LAI across all growth stages, outperforming conventional fertilizer applications [17]. Τo increase Leaf Area Index in transplanted hybrid rice, nano-fertilizers enhance nutrient uptake and improve plant metabolism. Their precise delivery of essential nutrients like nitrogen promotes vigorous leaf growth, leading to a higher LAI, better photosynthesis, and ultimately increased crop productivity; Effect of LAI has been also supported by earlier Kumar et al. [18] and Salama and Badry [9].

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from the present investigation that the application of 100% Recommended Dose of Nitrogen (RDN) combined with Nano Urea and Nano Zinc significantly enhanced key growth parameters in transplanted hybrid rice. This treatment resulted in higher plant height, increased tiller, greater dry matter accumulation, and leaf area index compared to traditional fertilization methods.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. USDA, World Agricultural Production. Circular Series WAP 3-24 March 2024; 2024.
- 2. Maclean JL, Dawe DC, Hettel GP. (Eds.). Rice almanac: Source book for the most important economic activity on earth. 2002;(2):1-253.
- 3. Meena RS, et al. Impact of nano-fertilizers on soil health and nutrient use efficiency in rice cultivation. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2020;9 (5):156-162.
- 4. Rai V, Acharaya S, Dey N. Implications of nano biosensors in agriculture. Journal of

Biomaterials and Nano-Biotechnology. 2012;3(2):1-10.

- 5. Tarafdar JC, Raliya R., Mahawar H, Rathore I. Development of zinc nano fertilizer to enhance crop production in pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.). Agricultural Research. 2014;3(3):257-262.
- Pavithra GJ, Rajashekar Reddy BH, Salimath M, Geetha KN, Shankar AG. Zinc oxide nano particles increases Zn uptake, translocation in rice with positive effect on growth, yield and moisture stress tolerance. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2017;22(3):287-294.
- Srivastava A, Singh R. Effect of nitrogen and foliar spray of urea and nano urea on growth and yield of rabi maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023;35(18): 2037-2044.
- 8. Burhan MG, AL-Hassan SA. Impact of nano NPK fertilizers to correlation between productivity, quality and flag leaf of some bread wheat varieties. The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019;1029(50):1-7.
- 9. Salama HAS, Badry HH. Effect of partial substitution of bulk urea by nanoparticle urea fertilizer on productivity and nutritive value of teosinte varieties. Agronomy Research. 2020;18(4):2568-2580.
- Pal RK, Maurya DK, Kumar S, Singh R. Assessing the influence of nano urea on the growth and yield of irrigated wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Crop. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(12):843-851.
- Ravi MV, Rao KN, Vishwanath J, Umesh MR. Effect of Nano Nitrogen and Nano Zinc on Growth and Yield of Paddy in Paddy-Paddy Cropping System. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023;13(6):244-258.
- 12. Midde SK, Perumal MS, Murugan G, Sudhagar R, Mattepally VS, Bada MR. Evaluation of Nano-Urea on growth and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2022; 11(42):211-214.
- Samui, Sumanta, Lalichetti Sagar, Tanmoy Sankar, Abha Manohar, Rahul Adhikary, Sagar Maitra, Subhashisa Praharaj. Growth and productivity of rabi maize as influenced by foliar application of urea and nano-urea. Crop Research. 2022;57(3): 136-140.
- 14. Attri M, Sharma N, Sharma BC. Effect of foliar application of nano urea on productivity and profitability of fine rice

under irrigated subtropics of Jammu region. Indian Journal of Ecology. 2022; 49(5):1935-1938.

- 15. Yomso J, Menon S. Impact of nanofertilizers on growth and yield parameters of rice crop; A Review. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(6): 249-253.
- Bhavani P, Prakash SS, Harinikumar KM, 16. Thimmegowda MN, Benherlal PS. Yogananda SB. Performance of slow hydroxyapatite release coated urea nanofertilizer on aerobic paddy. International Current Journal of

Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(11):1320-1330.

- Samanta S, Maitra S, Shankar T, Gaikwad D, Sagar L, Panda M, Samui S. Comparative performance of foliar application of urea and nano urea on finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) Crop Research. 2022;57(3):166-170.
- Kumar A, Sheoran P, Kumar N, Devi S, Kumar A, Malik K, Mann A. Elucidating morphogenic and physiological traits of rice with nitrogen substitution through nano-nitrogen under salt stress conditions. Research Squre. 2024;1-23.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124086